Preserve

~~Destroy~~

App F-IX-4

Previous References
LB 26-26 (163)
LF 161-92

Later References

1931-32
(mc)

PRESERVED

File No 31-30
Serial Nos. 44, 46, 50, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58 & 59.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos Eleven

Part B for Sep 34

SUBJECT.

Re the Mohataqa revenues of the Inam portion of Tuticorin Taluk

For orders (Vide Ro No L/462-6/mc 31-30-65 dt 28/8/34

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

27/11

[redacted] WITH THE DEWAN

GENERAL SECTION
10 JUN 1931
L & B BRANCH

L5793

Dated. 192

Gut. pm No 128

ml 38-30 — 44

Name of Interviewer—

T Ramaiah Gowda &
Thimmaiah

Representation in brief—

2) Certain matters connected with T Manickaji
referred to in his letter
have not been received yet
& representations to obtain, the
[illegible]

7-6-31

3) Gen'l Secy

RG
9/6/31 10 6.31
mr. TV
10/6/31

"Draft sub
13 6-31 5) issue 13 6.31

5793/R 57/R

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 31 of 1930

App F III-2] (2

Serial No	
46	To The Dy. Comr., Shimoga District No L9965 dated 16-6-31 calls for a report regarding representations of matters relating to Tirthahalli Munty
50	Rem. - do - no. Munz 293/27-28 & 26-7-31 States that the dispute between the Tirthahalli Municipality & the Dharmadarsis of Sri Rameswara Devaru temple is pending for a long time, as the Survey Superintendent cannot, from the records available in his Office, fix the boundaries of the Inam village & the matter cannot therefore be settled until this is done
	5) Submitted with reference to Dewan's minute in paragraph 2 of the notes supra. Munz Br) 31-7-31 6) 1.8.31
	Typed note cancelled in final Sub (vide pages 3 & 4 of foregoing note)

Sus A

Munz. case put up typed note this question now pending your Br. 1.8.31

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Note

8) Mohataria - Thirthahalli Town

9) Rival claims of the Sri Rameswaraswami Temple and the Municipality.

---

10) The temple has been enjoying 3/4 of the Mohataria collected in the Inam portion of the Thirthahalli Municipality in accordance with G.O. No. 16646/L.F 161-92, dated 3rd May 1894, only 1/4 was being credited to the Municipal Funds.

11) The Municipality has been urging -- from time to time that it should benefit by the collection of mohataria in the Inam portion of Thirthahalli Municipality. In connection with this the First Member -- minuted on 3rd October 1926 as follows.-

" The remedy seems to be buy up the mohatarfa rights of the temple for the Municipality, Government and Municipality contributing".

12) On 5th June 1928 the Dewan directed that the question should be examined and steps taken to separate the Municipal from -- Temple funds. The Muzrai Commissioner -- was thereupon asked to report what income the temple derived from Mohataria and in case the income ceased (in accordance with Proceedings of Government in the L.S.G.) how the loss to the temple should be made good. He reported on 19th June 1929 that the matter was discussed on the -- occasion of his visit to Thirthahalli with the Vice President and some Municipal members and the Deputy Commissioner, --

L. 4973-5005/L.B. 26-26-163, dated 27-2-28.

Shimoga, that the Municipality should pay to the temple a compensation of - - Rs. 24,000 in return for which the right of the temple over the Padavanahilli, part of the town, would be transferred to the Municipal Council. In this connection the following summary of the points at issue contained in the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 5th April 1929 to the Muzrai Commissioner may perhaps be interesting.--

13) 1. The levy of the Mohataria at Thirthahilli being governed by the order of 3rd May 94, the right of the temple to the share allotted to it therein does not appear to have been cancelled by the G.O. of 28th February 1928 abolishing mohataria in rural areas.

14) 2. The right of the temple, has however its corresponding obligation and since the existing arrangement has placed the Municipality in a disadvantageous position it has to be so modified as to meet the growing needs of the rate payers in regard to sanitation and works of town improvement.

15) 3. To arrive at an equitable arrangement as between the temple and the Municipality there appear but two courses left open to adoption provided the latter body is willing to abide by them:-

16) The first is for the Municipality to acquire all rights the temple possesses in the inam area by paying a lump sum price. The other remedy lies in enhancing the ratio of the share of the Municipality.

17) The late Muzrai Commissioner Rajakarya Praviha Mr. P.G. D'Souza had personally discussed the matter with the local authorities as noted supra and the Municipal Council at its meeting

held on 29th May 1930 resolved that as the nature, the extent and boundaries of the inam endowed to Sri ~~Rxxxxxxxxxx~~ Rameswara are not known, the same may be obtained from the Inam Office for the settlement of this complicated question. Action -- taken later in the matter is embodied in the report under disposal.

G.S. [illegible] 3/8/31

(8) The question has been pending for a long time and it is inexpedient to settle it without ~~a~~ the definite views of the Municipal Council in the matter. The Dy Comr may be asked to attend a meeting of the Council specially convened for the purpose and see ~~that~~ the question is finally disposed of by the Council.

Serial No

The Murgan linked file is taken up for examine

FI 9.50 examine on 24 8 31 1931 960

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 6 AUG 1931 No. 1470

19) Submitted to the 1st Member and 2nd Member.

4.8.31

5/8/31

20) Please put up the history this municipality

6/8/31

RG 5/8

6/8/31

21) Tirthahalli is a minor municipality. Draft estimate for the latest Budget is subd. for approval.

7.8.31

22) Issue

7.8.31

GEN & REV. SECTT. 21 AUG 1931 L. S. G. BRANCH.

57 To The Dy: Commr., Shimoga District No: L 1054 dated 8.8.31 Calls for the Copy of the budget of the Tirthahalli municipality

NOTE—Contn Sheet

1558 L

(7

Ml File No 31 of 1930-31 General and Revenue Secretariat

From the Dy: Commr, Shimoga District 53
No: Mug-1-C-293/27-28 & 26-9-31
Submits the Budget of the Tirthahalli Municipal Council for the year 1931-32.

Flag I

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
30 SEP 1931
No. 1569

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
6 OCT. 1931
No. 1577

23) Submitted with reference to Member's orders in paragraph 20 of the above notes.
29.9.31 24) 2nd Member) 30.9.31

25) The Dy Com may be asked to expedite the action suggested by him in para 2 of his letter. The matter is eminently one for amicable settlement & the Dy Comr who is also the mun Pr S^d come to a fair compromise ~~as early~~ between the two interests & submit a report to Govt as early as possible.
2/10

26) Draft subd.
10 31 27) 2nd Member) 5.10.31

TYPING SECTION
7 OCT 1931
GENL & REV SEC

28) 7/10

29) Issue 7.10.31

1558/L & 2253/L (8

App F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 31 of 193 0

Serial No 54

To The Dy. Commr Shimoga Dist. No L2867 of 15.10.3

Requests to expedite the Return suggested in para 2 of his letter of 26.7.31 re the dispute between Shikarpur Mc. Cl. & the Dharmadarsis of Sri Rameswara Devaru Devasthanam at the place

Sus A

From do - No: Huz I.C. 293/2728 of 17-3

Ad-interim report stating that both the Dharmadarsis & the Municipal Councillors present are in favour of buying up the rights of the temple to avoid further complications & the amount of compensation to be paid will be determined at their next joint meeting in January.

30) Submitted with reference to Member's orders in paragraph 25 of the notes supra. Further report of the Dy. Commr may perhaps be awaited - Subm for orders.

2nd Member) 20.11.31

19.11.31

31) 23/11

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 23 NOV 31 3233

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7-0

9) V4197 2253

File No 31 of 1930 | General and Revenue Secretariat

30 The D.C Shimoga in Para 2 of his letter dt 17-11-31 has stated that the question will be settled in the meeting to be held in Jany 32 Submit with a draft

27/1/32

33 We may await the meeting to be held in Feb 28/1

34 Submit May the draft come now?

9/2/32

35 Yes 10/2

Letter no MUR C 293/27-28 dated 23/4/32 from the Deputy Commr Shimoga district, submitting a report regarding the dispute between the Dharmadarsi of the Sri Rameswara temple and the municipal councillors of Tirthahalli in regard to the price to be paid for the Inam land on which a major portion of the town of Tirthahalli now stands

36 The Dy Commr states that the Dharmadarsi demands a sum of Rs 16000/- while the members say that nothing more than Rs 10000/- can be paid, for which they intend applying for a loan from Govt in view given slender resources. Further ~~it is stated~~ the Dc adds that he has referred the matter to the President quite well for final consideration and promises to submit his recommendation in the matter which may if approved be awaited

83
27/4/32

P & D

Sec A

TYPING SECTION
11 FEB 1932
GENL & REV SECT.

P.W.D

Serial No

37) For perusal with reference to paras 25-2-31 supra

38) The further report of the DC Cant has to be awaited

II Member) 1/5

39) This has been pending long

1/5

3/5

40) Draft to be

8/5

41) Submitted with a draft

42) Issue

7/5

8/5/39

48/5

56 Issued vide 7658 of 19/5/32. To we should request him to expedite the submission of the final report

57 From

The Deputy Comr Shimoga Dt

Letter no mis C 293/27 dt 28/5/32

Forwards the resolution of the Sorabadi Mu. Council agreeing to purchase the Temple lands for Rs 15000 subject to certain conditions provided Govt advance this amount in the first instance, and treat it as a loan repayable in 30 instalments with interest at 4% and

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
2 MAY 1932
No. 9295

9 MAY 193

Sus 8/5 9/5

NOTE—Contn Sheet

11)

Ml File No 31 of 1930

8-9

1408

General and Revenue Secretariat

and also the resolution of the Dharmadarsis of the temple agreeing to sell the lands for Rs 16 000 with certain rights reserved for the temple and states that the Dharmadarsis have been requested to intimate whether they are agreeable to treat the amount as a loan repayable in easy instalments at 4% interest and promises a further report

ws) The receipt of the promised report may perhaps be awaited till the end of this month and then ~~RTS~~ issued if necessary

8/9 32

8/9

Ins to put 1-10-32

8/9

From

The Dy Coll Tanjore

Letter no. misc. 1 c. 293 & 20.9.32

Forwards a copy of the resolution of the Dharmadarsis of Sri Rameshwara temple at Tuttakudi resolving that the amount due may be treated as a loan for a period of 10 years and states that the resolution of the Dharmadarsis has been referred to the Ml Council and that on receipt of the resolution of the Ml Council a further communication will be made

ws) Submit for perusal The receipt of the further communication will be awaited

58

ws) Yes in Till the middle of Oct

28/9

27 9 32

Ins

25/9

Gener... and Revenue Secretariat — File No 31 of 1930

Serial No 57

From

The Dy Comr Madurai
Memo No 293 of 31.10.32

Forwards a copy of letter of 31.10.32 addressed to the Madurai Comr stating that the temple lands may be sold to the mdty for Rs 15,000 the amount being made repayable to the temple within a course of 30 years and carrying interest at 6% and that the quota out of motor tax collections hitherto paid from 1928 may be paid to the temple by the mdty.

(ii) The receipt of Madurai Commissioner's proposals on the recommendation of the Dy Comr's may be awaited

10/11/32

(iii) No reference has been received from the Madurai Comr

(iv) Sent with a draft to the Madurai Comr for appl or other orders

28/2/33

(v) A file of the Madurai Branch containing the proposals of the Madurai Comr on the subject has been sent to this Branch for opinion. It is to be now. Orders in that file may be awaited.

4/3

Sno A for 20 days

B (vide Pro No 1462-6 Dt 28/8/34)
Ml 31-30-65.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 70—GBI M—60 000—13 8 31

File No

1)

Appendix F G–1]

SUBJECT

Section ml

File No 31-30

Serial No 46

Diary No of letter replied to 5793

No L. 9965

To

The Dy Comm^r
Shimoga District

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 16/6/31

Signed by M. Y. S. Sastri

Sir. I am directed to state that Mr. T. Rama Gowda of Sirsahalli has represented to the Dewan that certain matters relating to the Sirsahalli municipality and referred to in the Dewan's Tour Notes have not yet been disposed of and to request that a detailed report may be submitted to Govt. in the matter very early.

I have etc

13 6 31

For Sec to Govt

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

(Dfc) 13-6-31

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No 2 9965 / ul. 31-30-46

Dated, Bangalore, 16/6/1931

From R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
~~B. NARAYANASWAMIYENGAR, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
~~Xxx~~ General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District, SHIMOGA.

Sir,

I am directed to state that Mr. T. Rame Gowda of Tirthahalli has represented to the Dewan that certain matters relating to the Tirthahalli Municipality and referred to in the Dewan's Tour Notes have not yet been disposed of and to request that a detailed report may be submitted to Government in the matter very early.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V Subrahmanyasastri

FOR GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

E.W.M/2.

617

Sus Regr No 7

No Misc 293/29 28

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated, ~~SHIMOGA~~ 26th July 1931

Camp Shiralkoppa.

FROM

GULAM MOHADIN MEHKRI, ESQ, B A, LL B,
District Magistrate,
Shimoga District

GEN & REV. SECTT. 572 30 JULY 1931 L. & R. BRANCH.

M-131-30-50

To
R. RANGA RAO, ESQUIRE, B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY. 29 JULY 1931 No

SIR,

RR 29-7-31

With reference to your letter No L.9965/M1.31-30-46 dated 16th June 1931 calling for a report on the representation of Mr. T. Ramanna Gowda, re the ~~dispute~~ dispute between the Municipality of Thirthahalli and the Dharmadarsis of Sri Rameswara Devaru temple, I have the honour to state that this question has been pending for a long time ~~and~~ as the Survey Superintendent cannot, from the records available in his office, fix the boundaries of the Inam village and the matter cannot therefore be settled until this is done.

2. As a number of houses have been built on Inam land, the Municipality has to acquire this extent of land and to pay compensation to the temple. I am in correspondence with the Dist., Survey Officer and as no survey operations, are possible now owing to this heavy monsoon, the matter will be taken up after the rains stop When the boundaries of the Inam village are fixed, I shall convene a meeting of the Dharmadarsis and the Municipal Councillors and try to come to an amicable settlement.

3. In this connection, I may add, that it was proposed to the Municipality to pay to the temple Rs. 24,000/- as compensation, but the Municipality at their meeting, deferred the question of payment, till the boundaries of the Inam village were

determined.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. S. [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

Appendix F G–1]

4)

SUBJECT

Section ML

File No 31-30

Serial No 51

Diary No of letter replied to 572/R

No L-1054

To

The Dy. Comm.
Sarangapatam District

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 8-8-31

Signed by Mr V S Sastri

List of enclosures

Copied by 8/8

Examined by

Sir, With refce to correspondence ending with your letter No. Huz 293/27-28 re the dispute between the Tirthahally Municipality & the Dharmadarsis of Sri Rameswara Devaru Temple at the place, I am directed to request that a copy of the latest budget of this Municipality (with your order sanctioning the same) may be forwarded to this office very early.

I have etc.,

7.8.31
For Gen. Secy. & Gen

(Sd) 7.8.31

No Muz. 1.C. 293/27-28

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated, Shimoga 26th September 1931

Ml 31-30-53

FROM

GULAM MOHADIN MEHKRI, ESQ, B A, LL B,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

GENERAL SECRETARY
28 SEP 1931

To

H.V. RAMASWAMI, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General secretary to Government,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No L. 1054/Ml 31-30-51, dated the 8th August 1931, calling for a copy of the latest budget of the Tirthahalli Municipality, I have the honor to submit herewith the same, with my review thereon.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

15

13/Spl.15/30-31.

Office of the Deputy Commisioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated, Shimoga, 22nd September 1931.

69

Reference:- Budget estimates of the Tirthahalli Muncipal Council for 1931-32 received with the President's letter No.T.M.15-31 dated 21-7-1931.

MEMORANDUM.

The Council estimate their income and expenditure at Rs 7038/- and 7604/- respectively as compared with the revised and sanctioned figures for 1930-31 as per details given below.

Proposed	Revised	Sanctioned
1931-32	1930-31	30-31.
760	463	463
7083	9699	9840
7843	10162	10303
7604	9402	1319
244	760	1016

Receipts

There was a fall in the income under Octroi during the previous year. This is explained to be due to the general trade depression. As the Council do not anticipate any improvement in trade condition, during this year also the provision of Rs 4500/- proposed under this head as against Rs 6700/- in the previous year, is approved.

EXPENDITURE.

With a view to keep the expenditure within the income, the Council have proposed the marginally noted retrenchments with effect from 1st January 1932.

Sanitary Inspector	Rs 284
2 Kolkars 2 X 11 X 6	" 132
2 Sweepers 2 X 12 X 6	" 144
	Rs 560.

They have also proposed to keep the post of the Muncipal Clerk vacant throughout the year and to pay a monthly allowance of Rs 5/- to the bill collector for attending to the work of the clerk. These retrenchments are incorporated in the Budget pending sanction of Government.

The tiffin charge of Rs 25/- provided under H.(A) is disallowed.

The Pay of the Bill Collector is wrongly shown under the head "E.2. conservancy and Sanitation". This item is therefore, transferred to the head " Collection.2. other taxes".

The Budget as revised below is sanctioned:-

Opening balance - - - -				R. 760
Receipts :-				
Normal - - - -		R. 6338		
Special:				
Sale of land - -	R. 200			
Interest on investment ---	" 350			
Public debt - - - -	" 200	750		
				7088
Total				R. 7848
Expenditure;-				
Normal		6879		
Special:				
Public works	500			
Debt head	200	700		
				R. 7579
Closing balance				R. 269

The ordinary expenditure exceeds the normal income by R. 541/ and the closing balance falls short of the prescribed minimum by R. 1503/- From the body of the budget, it is seen that no furthe reduction of expenditure is possible under any head except under establish ents. The expenditure on octroi and mohatarfa collection is disproportionate to the income; therefore while the recei ts from the Octroi and mohatarfa are estimated to be R. 4500/- and R. 1375/- respectively, the charges of collections come to R. 1003/- and R. 250/- The municipality will be forced to draw upon its reserves if further retrenchments are not effected or their resources improved.

The council have invested a sum of R. 5000/- in the Government securities be sides a sum of R. 1762/- in the Savings Bank acounts.

Sd. G. M. Mehkri,

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

1. Copy to the President, Tirthahalli Municipality with a copy of the sanctioned estimates. for information.

2. Copy with compliments, to the General Secretary to Government.

3. Copy " to the Comptroller.

4. Office file.

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

8.

M A M. 1—Rule 18)

Budget Estimates

OF THE

Yerthanalli Municipality

for the year 1931 -1932. Sanctioned by the Deputy Chief in his letter

(Prescribed by G O Nos 2243-54--Ml 138-12-1, dated 11th September 1921 and 9545-57—Ml 138 12-10, dated 31st May 1913) No m3 SM 15 / 3031 9229/31

WD 01555—GPB—400—15 4-29

A Abstract estimates of revenue and expenditure for the year 1931 —1932

Items	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931-1932	Revised estimate for the current year 1930-1931	Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930-1931	Accounts of past year 1929 1930 (audited figures)	Difference between columns 3 and 4	Difference between columns 2 and 3
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Receipts						
A Municipal rates and taxes	5875	5930	8200	7865	- 2270	- 55
B Realizations under special acts	180	106	250	200	-144	+ 74
C Revenue derived from Municipal property and powers apart from taxation	713	350	1040	348	- 690	+ 363
D Grants and contributions	-	.	.	.		
F Miscellaneous	120	.	150	1771	- 150	+120
F Public debt	200	3313	200	526	+ 3113	-3113
Total Receipts	7088	9699	9840	10710	- 141	-2611
Opening Balance	760	463	463	568	-	+ 297
Grand Total	7848	10162	10303	11278	- 141	-2314

Items	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931 1932	Revised estimate for the current year 1930 1931	Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931	Accounts of past year 1929 1930 (audited figures)	Difference between columns 10 and 11	Difference between columns 9 and 10
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Expenditure						
A General Administration	~~1579~~ 1837	1878	2385	2247	- 507	- 299
B Public safety	- 605	841	1005	940	- 164	- 236
C Public works carried out by P W D	.	.	.	.	.	.
D Do by Civil Dept	- 500	820	1500	1322	- 680	- 320
F Public health and convenience	~~3841~~	4305	4875	4712	- 570	- 464
F Public instruction	3583 - 504	584	504	504	+ 80	- 80
G Contributions and grants in aid (Private)	- 50	-	100	100	- 100	+ 50
H Miscellaneous	- 329	579	250	109	+ 329	- 254
I Public debt	200	395	700	881	- 305	- 195
Total Expenditure	~~7604~~ 7579	9402	11319	10815	-1917	- 1798
Closing Balance	~~244~~ 269	760	-1016	463	+1776	2 516
Grand Total	7848	10162	10303	11278	- 141	- 2314

Rs 8,500/- in Govt Securities
Rs 1762/- in S.B. Account

Chamarajendra Rao 20.7.31
for Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

B Statement showing details of estimates of receipts for the year 1931 —1932.

Items of receipts	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931 1932	Revised estimate for the current year 1930-1931	Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931	Accounts of past year 1929 1930 (audited figures)	Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2	3	4	5	6
A Municipal Rates and Taxes—					
1 Octroi—	4500	4238 15-7	6700	6707 15-6	
2 Taxes on buildings and lands	850	125-4-0 ~~638-15-0~~	850	237-1-0	
3 Taxes on vehicles and animals	25	6-0-0	50-0-0	13-8-0	
4 Taxes on professions and trades	500	426-0-0	600	406-8-0	
5 Tolls and ferries					
6 Water tax					
7 Lighting tax					
8 Conservancy cesses (including scavenging and latrine rates)					
9 Mohatarfa—					
(a) Shop tax					
(b) Oil mill tax					
(c) Cart tax					
Total 9					
10 Other taxes (to be specified)					
Total A	5875	5930	8200	~~7866-2~~	
B Realizations under Special Acts—					
1 Pounds—					
Fines for cattle trespass	150	95-11-0	170	177-3-0	
Sale of stray cattle	10				
Refund of feeding charges	20	10-6-2	30	22-5-3	
Other items ..					
Total	180	106-1-2	250	199-8	

2 Public conveyances—								
Carriage license fees								
Fees for drivers badges								
Other items								
Total 2								
3 Other items (to be specified)								
Total B								
C Revenue derived from Municipal Property and Powers apart from Taxation—								
1 Rents of buildings lands etc								
2 Sale proceeds of lands and produce of lands etc—	200			100		12 10-8		
Sale of lands								
Sale of grass								
Sale of trees								
Amaiayi								
Other items								
Total 2		200		100			12-10-8	
3 Conservancy receipts (other than taxes and rates)—								
Sale of manure night soil street refuse, etc	8		8	35		13		
4 Sale proceeds of smuggled goods								
5 Fees and revenue from educational institutions—								
School fees								
Other receipts	25		15-13-0	50				
6 Fees and revenue from markets and slaughterhouses—								
Income from markets	50			100				
Income from slaughterhouses	50			100				
Total 6		100			200			

B Statement showing details of estimates of receipts for the year 1931 —1932 (*Contd*)

Items of receipts	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931 1932	Revised estimate for the current year 1930 1931	Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931	Accounts of past year 1929-1930 (audited figures)	Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2	3	4	5	6
7 Fees and revenue from tramway				-	
8 Notice and warrant fees and interest	5	-14-0	50	2-4-0	
9 License fees—					
Firewood depots					
Charcoal depots					
Kerosene oil depots					
Brick kilns					
Pottery kilns					
Lime kilns					
Camphor boiling					
Dyers stalls					
Mutton stalls					
Beef stalls					
Erection of pendals					
Excavation of clay or earth					
Fire works					
Cart-stands (private)					
Tobacco license fees					
Total 9 License fees					
10 Other fees—					
Fees for storage in Octroi kotri					
Octroi rahadari fees					
Baramarga fees					
Municipal Cart Stand fees					

Demurrage fees					15			
Total 10								
11 Fines								
12 Interest on investments	350		311-12-0		540		311-12	
13 Land revenue and cesses								
14 Revenue from sewage farms								
15 Other items—								
Sale of fish in tanks								
Sale of fort stones ...								
Sale of unserviceable articles								
Miscellaneous	25		22-0-0		50		7-10-0	
Total 15		25		22		50		7-10-
Total C	-	713		358-7-		1040		347-4-8
D Grants and Contributions—								
From Government—								
For road repairs								
For sanitary purposes								
For educational purposes								
Purnaiya's chattram feeding charges								
Total 1								
2 From District Funds—								
For road repairs								
3 From other sources—								
(a) Local Funds General								
(b) Muzrai Funds								
(c) Other sources (to be specified)—								
Repairs of Railway approach road								
Sringeri Matt								
Total 3								
Total D								

B—Statement showing details of estimates of receipts for the year 1931 – 1932—*concld.*

Items of receipts	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931 1932		Revised estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Accounts of past year 1929 1930 (audited figures)		Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2		3		4		5		6
E Miscellaneous—									
1 Recoveries on account of services rendered to private individuals									
2 Lapsed deposits									
3 Refunds and recoveries	120				150		71-4-4		
4 Other items (to be specified)—									
Recoveries of overpayment of previous years									
Total E		120		120		150		71-4-4	
F Public debt—									
1 Loans									
2 Deposits	200		907-10-0		200		483-8-1		
3 Advances			610-0-0						
4 Other items (to be specified)			1795-0-0				1700-0-0 43		
New extension receipts (Bangalore City)									
Stock credits									
Total Public Debt		200		2313		200		2226-8-	

C Statement showing details of estimates of expenditure for the year 1931 —1932

[N B —Details of establishments should not be shown in this statement]

Items of expenditure	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931 —1932	Revised estimate for the current year 1930—1931	Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 —1931	Accounts of past year 1929-1930 (audited figures)	Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2	3	4	5	6
C1 General Administration—					
I Head office—					
Deputy Commissioner s Office—					
(a) Salary of establishment—contribution towards the pay of the establishment of the Local Fund Department the Deputy Commissioner s Office					
(b) Travelling allowance					
(c) Contingencies					
(d) Furniture					
(e) Stationery supplied by Government Stationery Depot					
(f) Miscellaneous					
Total 1					
Municipal Office—					
(a) Salary of establishment	200	319-2-11	515	569-7-10	
Contribution towards the salary of Local Fund clerks—					
Permanent					
Temporary					
Local allowance					
(b) Travelling allowance					
(c) Contingencies	20	33-13-0	40-0-0	23-3-9	
(d) Furniture					
(e) Stationery supplied by Government Stationery Depot					

C. Statement showing details of estimates of expenditure for the year 1931—1932 *contd*

Items of expenditure	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931—1932		Revised estimate for the current year 1930—1931		Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Accounts of past year 1929—1930 (audited figures)		Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2		3		4		5		6
(f) Miscellaneous—									
Purchase and maintenance of motor cars									
Purchase of books and journals					5				
Book binding charges	111				5				
Postage charges	2		69-8-0		10		35-12-0		
Telegram charges					40				
Printing charges									
Advertisement charges									
Clothing charges									
Belts and badges									
Total (f)	1 1	2		698-0		60		35-12-0	
Total 2		222		422-7-4		625		628-7-7	
Total I	1 1								
Collection—									
1 Octroi—									
(a) Establishment	1003		1130-2-4		1243		1226-9-5		
Permanent									
Temporary									
Revision of establishment									
Total (a)		1003		1130-2-4					
(b) Commission									

(c) Other charges (to be specified)—								
Rewards								
Rent								
Contingencies	60		40-9-6		60		56-13-6	
Miscellaneous					143			
Total (c)		60		40 9 6		203		56-13-6
Total 1		1063		1170-11-10		1446		128-6-11
2 Other taxes—								
(a) Establishment—								
Permanent	258					15		
Temporary								
Total (a)	258							
(b) Commission								
(c) Other charges (to be specified)								
Contingencies								
Painting door numbers					20			
Bicycle badges								
Total (c)						20		
Total 2	258							
3 Rents and other receipts—								
Establishment								
Permanent								
Temporary								
4 Refunds								
Total II						1466		1283-6-11
III Pensions and gratuities including pensionary contribution on account of employees lent to the Municipalities	296		284-11-0		294-0-0		303-1-11	
Total A		~~1879~~ 1837		1877 14-9		2385		2215-0-5

C. Statement showing details of estimates of expenditure for the year 1931—1932—*contd*

Items of expenditure	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931—1932		Revised estimate for the current year 1930—1931		Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930—1931		Accounts of past year 1929—1930 (audited figures)		Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2		3		4		5		6
B Public safety									
1 Fire protection—									
(*a*) Establishment—									
Permanent									
Temporary									
(*b*) Other charges (to be specified)									
2 Lighting charges—									
(*a*) Establishment									
(*b*) Other charges—									
Petrol lighting charges			220-4-		250		219-0-9		
Purchase of oil, wick, etc	600								
(*c*) Lighting by contract			620-4-		750		720-9-		
(*d*) Electric lighting charges									
Total 2		600		840-8-		1000		939-9-9	
3 Rewards for destruction of wild animals, snakes, dogs etc—									
Capturing and deporting monkeys									
Destruction of snakes	5				5		0-8-0		
Destruction of dogs and bitches									
Total 3		5				5		0-8-0	
4 Miscellaneous									
Total B									

C *Public Works carried out by Public Works Department—*								
(1) Original works								
(2) Repairs								
(3) Establishment								
(4) Tools and plant								
Total C								
D *Public Works carried out by Civil Department—*								
(1) Original works	500		400-0-		1000		473-	
(2) Repairs			420-0-		500		843-14-	
(3) Compensation								
(4) Tools and plant								
(5) Establishment charges—								
Permanent								
Contribution towards the salary of Work Inspector or Sanitary or Assistant Sanitary Inspector		5280		5355		5[illegible]		
Contingencies								
Total (5)								
Total D		500		820		1000		1324-14-
E *Public Health and Convenience—*								
1 Health Office—								
(a) Health Officer								
(b) Establishment—								
Permanent								
Temporary								
(c) Other charges								
Total 1								

C Statement showing details of estimates of expenditure for the year 1931—1932—*contd*

Items of expenditure	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931—1932		Revised estimate for the current year 1930—1931		Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930—1931		Accounts of past year 1929—1930 (audited figures)		Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2		3		4		5		6
2 Conservancy and Sanitation —									
(a) Establishment —	~~2350~~		2722-12-9		2752		2723-8-9		
Permanent	2072								
Temporary									
Provision for additional establishment or revision of establishment—									
Local allowance									
Total (a)		2830		2722-12-9		2752		2723-8-9	
Road-watering and cleaning									
(c) Other charges (purchase of carts, dust bins, etc.)—									
Hire of carts permanent	440		585		660		665-0		
Hire of carts, temporary					100		100		
Purchase of carts									
Repair of carts									
Purchase of dustbins									
Purchase of brooms, tools and plant									
Miscellaneous			41-3-0		100		100-0-0		
Total (c)		455		626-3		885		845-9	

(*d*) Plague cholera and other charges	~~25~~				50		15-8	
Epidemic Diseases Hospital—								
Establishment								
Dieting charges								
Rations to the poor								
Bedding and clothing	1	103						
Uniforms to servants								
Contingent charges		220		105		320		243-2
Furniture and fittings								
Repair and improvement of sheds								
Total E D Hospital								
Disinfection—								
Establishment								
Preparation of emulsion etc	10				10			
Uniforms to Inspector and coolies	5							
Total Disinfection		10				10		
Inoculation rewards ..								
Watchmen for Health Camp								
Total Plague charges						60		
Cholera charges								
Total (*d*)		35				60		
Total 2		~~2820~~ 2562		334·15-9		3697		845-9

C. Statement showing details of estimates of expenditure for the year 1931—1932 (*contd*).—

Items of expenditure	Estimates for the ensuing year 1931 1932		Revised estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Accounts of past year 1929 1930 (audited figures)		Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2		3		4		5		6
3 Medical relief including vaccination—									
(a) Local Fund Hospitals and Dispensaries—									
(i) Charge allowance	228	10			228	10			
(ii) Establishment									
(iii) House rent, contingencies and furniture—									
House rent									
Contingencies									
Furniture	75		402		75				
(iv) Dieting charges	4				4		585		
(v) Bedding and clothing charges	4				4		54-5-8		
(vi) Country medicines	34				34				
(vii) Travelling allowances	5				5				
Total (a)		350		402		350		643-5-9	
(b) Contributions on account of construction and repairs of Local Fund Dispensaries and Hospital staff quarters	183	183	50		50		36-10-8		
(c) Midwives and Maternity—									
(i) Establishment—									
Maharani's Hospital									
Midwives	168		168		168				
Total (i)		168		168		168			

(ii) Reward for extra labour cases and			25		25			
Other items	30		30		30			
Maharni s Hospital—								
Contingency								
Furniture								
Dieting charges								
Bedding and clothing								
Country medicine		83½						
Total, Maharani s Hospital		30		55		55		
Midwives								
Total (ii)								
(iii) Travelling allowance								
(iv) Midwives articles	3		3		3			
Total (c)		201		226		226		
(d) Contributions to Ayurvedic and Unani Dispensaries								
Indigenous Hospitals and Vaidyasalas—								
Establishment								
Rent								
Medicine								
Total Indigenous Hospitals and Vaidyasalas								
Allowance to Pandits and Hakims—								

C Statement showing the details of estimates of expenditure for the year 1931—1932 (*contd*)

Items of expenditure	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931 1932		Revised estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Accounts of past year 1929 1930 (audited figures)		Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2		3		4		5		6
(e) Grants to other Medical Institutions (to be specified)									
St Martha's Hospital, Bangalore									
Church of England Zenana Mission Hospital Channapatna									
Redfern Memorial Hospital, Hassan									
(f) Charges for treatment of indigent patients in the Pasteur Institute					60				
(g) Veterinary Hospitals and Dispensaries	95		95		95		152-8-0		
(h) Vaccination—		511							
(i) Establishment—									
Permanent					20		13-12-0		
Temporary									
(ii) Other items	10		4-2-8		25		8-4-0		
Total (h)		10		4-2-8		45		22	
Total 3		839		777-2-8		826		854-8-4	
4 Registration of Births and Deaths—									
Establishment—									
Permanent									
Temporary									
Contingencies									
House rent									
Total 4									

5 Maintenance of public gardens and buildings—								
Establishment	132		126-12-6		132		129-15-9	
Purchase of plant, seed, etc								
Cart hire								
Miscellaneous								
Total 5		132		126-12-6		132		129-15-9
6 Markets and slaughterhouses								
Market Establishment		'0						
Slaughterhouse establishment			31-1'					
Miscellaneous								
Total 6								
7 Cattle pounds—								
(a) Establishment								
(b) Commission								
(c) Feeding charges								
Rewards to impounders	25		20		30		22-11-8	
Total 7		25		20		30		22 11-8
8 Public conveyances—								
Establishment								
Contingencies								
Drivers badges								
Painting numbers of carriages								
Total 8								

C Statement showing the details of estimates of expenditure for the year 1931-1932 (*contd*)

Items of expenditure	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931 1932		Revised estimate for the current year 1930-1931		Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Accounts of past year 1929-1930 (audited figures)		Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2		3		4		5		6
9 Water supply and maintenance charges—									
Maintenance of water-works and supply of water									
Watchers or guards—									
Permanent									
Temporary									
Miscellaneous									
Total 9									
10 Fairs and festivals—									
Market shows	25		31-12-0		40		24		
Decoration charges					50		61.		
Other items							35		
Total 10		25		31-12-0		90		120	
11 Sewage farms									
12, Avenues									
Miscellaneous—									
Burial ground watchmen									
Watchmaker s allowance									
Music charges									
Other items									
Total 13									
Total F		[illegible]							

3583

F Public Instruction—										
1 Municipal Schools—										
(a) Establishment										
Permanent—										
school										
school										
school										
Temporary —										
school										
Total (a)										
(b) Other charges —										
Rent										
Contingencies										
Printing charges										
Prize books										
Purchase of books, maps, kindergarten apparatus, etc										
Miscellaneous			15							
Total (b)				15						
Total 1										
Contributions and grants to—										
(a) Private Schools and Colleges—	204		269		204		204			
(Here enter names of schools with monthly grants)	300		300		300		100			

C Statement showing details of estimates of expenditure for the year 1931—1932 (*contd.*)

Items of expenditure	Estimate for the ensuing year 1931 1932		Revised estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930 1931		Accounts of past year 1929 1930 (audited figures)		Explanation for variations between columns 2 and 3
1	2		3		4		5		6
(b) Provincial Funds									
(c) Village School Funds									
Total 2	—	504							
3 Other charges—									
Establishment									
Total F	—	504	—	584	-	504		504	-
G Contributions and Grants in aid (private)—									
1 Reading rooms and Libraries—	50				100		100		
Srinivasa Mandiram, Bangalore									
Free Library Chikmagalur									
2 Other items (to be specified)—									
Moiety of income payable to Sringeri Matt									
Total G		50				100		100	
II Miscellaneous—									
1 Interest on loans									
2 Actual cost of work done for private individuals									
3 Law charges	300		199-12		200		31-15 74-14		
4 Charitable institutions—									
(a) Home for incurable paupers—									
Establishment									
Feeding charges									
Total (a)									

(b) Langarkhanas —								
Money doles								
Clothing charges								
Total (b)								
(c) Chattrams—								
Establishment								
Feeding charges								
Total (c)								
(d) Others (to be specified) Refreshment charges during meetings	~~25~~		20-0-6	20-0-6	50		34-0-6	
Total 4		~~305~~ 300		20-0-6		50	~~34-0-6~~	34-0-6
Other items (to be specified) Expenditure of previous years adjusted in the current year			359-9-0					
Total H ..	..	325		579-8-6		250		140-13-6
I Public Debt—								
1 Loans								
2 Deposits . .	200		395	[illegible]	200		291-14-0	
3 Advances . .								
4 Other items (to be specified)— New extension charges (Bangalore City)								
Stock debits					500		589-0-0	
Total I Public Debt		200		395		760		880-14-0
Total Expenditure		~~7504~~ 7579		9402		11319		10815

Chamachandra Rao
for Deputy Commissioner
Rur Bangalore Dist

D Statement showing the estimate of demand, collection and balance under Municipal rates and taxes

	Details	Octroi	Tax on buildings and lands	Taxes on vehicles and animals	Taxes on professions and trades	Tolls and ferries	Water tax	Lighting tax	Special sanitary cess	Shop tax	Oil mill tax	Cart tax	Other taxes to be specified	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
I Estimate for the ensuing year 1930–1931	1 Opening balance of arrears		3584-4-5	315-8-0	1267-10-0								9-14-4	
	2 New demand	4500-0-0	850-0-0	25-0-0	500-0-0								5-0-0	
	3 Total	4500-0-0	4434-4-5	340-8-0	1767-10-0								14-14-4	
	4 Remission		850-0-0	25-0-0	500-0-0								5-0-0	
	5 Collection	4500-0-0												
	6 Closing balance of arrears		3584-4-5	315-8-0	1767-10-0								9-14-4	
Revised estimate for the current year 1930–1931	7 Opening balance of arrears		2910-3-0	241-8-0	1321-10-0								9-14-4	
	8 New demand	4238-15-7	2108-8-0	80-0-0	577-8-0								0-14-0	
	9 Total	4238-15-7	5018-11-0	321-8-0	1902-2-0								10-12-4	
	10 Remission		175-2-7		208-8-0									
	11 Collection	4238 15-7	1259-4-0	6-0-0	426-0-0								0-14-0	
	12 Closing balance of arrears		3584-4-5	315-8-0	1267-10-0								9-14-4	

III Sanctioned estimate for the current year 1930—1931	13 Opening balance of arrears		849-12-0	45-8-0	771-3-0									23-13-4
	14 New demand	6500-0-0	850-0-0	50-0-0	600-0-0									50-0-0
	15 Total	6500-0-0	1699-12-0	95-8-0	1371-3-0									73-13-4
	16 Remission													—
	17 Collection	6500-0-0	850-0-0	50-0-0	600-0-0									50-0-0
	18 Closing balance of arrears		849-12-0	45-8-0	771-3-0									23-13-4
IV Actuals for the past year 1931—1932	19 Opening balance of arrears	3-9-4	736-13-0	9-0-0	577-11-0	✓								0-13-4
	20 New demand	6500-0-0	550-0-0	50-0-0	600-0-0									25-0-0
	21 Total	6503-9-4	1586-13-0	59-0-0	1177-11-0									25-13-0
	22 Remission	—												
	23 Collection	6707-15-0	787-1-0	13-8-0	406-8-0									2-4-0
	24 Closing balance of arrears		849-8-0	45-8-0	771-3-0	✓								23-13-4

ತಾ 20. 7 31

E Statement showing the *variation in the scale* of establishments adopted in the budget estimate for the year 192 -192
d that for 1931 -1932

Head of account	Designation	For the year Existing 1930 - 1931		For the year proposed 1931 - 1932		Authority for variation
		Number	Rate	Number	Rate	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
A 2	ಮುನ್ಸಿಫರವರು. ಸ್ಥಾಯಿ	1	30/+ 1-14-0	1	5/-	acting allowance only
	,, ವಿರಸಿ	1	11/-	1	11/-	
11	ಆಯಿ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರು	1	25/-+ 1-9-0	1	25/+ 1-9-0	
	,, ಶಿಗ್ಗಾಂವಿ.	2	12/-	2	12/-	
	,, ಶಿರಸಿ	4	11/-	2	11/-	
	ಗಾಂಜಾಕಸರಿ.	1	11/-	1	11/-	
B 2	ಗಾನಬಂ ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್-	1	35/+10/+ 2-3	1	35/+10/+ 2-3-0	for six months only
	ಬಿಲವಲಿಕ್ಟರ್-	1	20/+ 1-8-0	1	20/+ 1-8-0	
	ಕೆಇಪಕ್ಕನಿ ಪಟೇಲರ್	1	13/	1	15/-	
	ಖಾತಾಬಂದಿಗಳು—	10	12/-	8	12/-	
	ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪಿಂಜರ್-	2	13/-	2	13/-	

Head of account	Name of work	Amount of estimate 31-32	Outlay: Actual to the end of the previous year 1930–1931	Outlay: Probable during the current year 1930–1931	Grant for the ensuing year 1931–1932: Works in progress	Grant for the ensuing year 1931–1932: Works not commenced	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Public Works executed by the Public Works Department							
Public Works executed by the Civil Department.	1 ವಠಣದ ವರ್ಷ ಭಾವಿಕಾಮಗಾರಿ	200/-				200/-	
	2 ಅಪೀಲಗಾ — ರಥದಬಾದಿ ಕಾವಿಲೆಮೇಲೆ	300/-				300/-	
	ಒಟ್ಟು	500/-				500/-	

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ
ಶ್ರೀ ಕಂಠಯ್ಯ
ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರ್

G. Statement showing details of charges under Medical relief for the year 192 -192

Details	Name and locality of Medical Institution																							
	L F ಡಿಸ್ಪೆನ್ಸರಿ				ಪರುವೈದ್ಯಶಾಲೆ																			
	Proportion of cost borne	Number	Rate	Amount	Proportion of cost borne	Number	Rate	Amount	Proportion of cost borne	Number	Rate	Amount	Proportion of cost borne	Number	Rate	Amount	Proportion of cost borne	Number	Rate	Amount	Proportion of cost borne	Number	Rate	Amount
L F ಡಿಸ್ಪೆನ್ಸರಿ																								
ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆ ತೆಲುಂಡಿ ಕಲಮು	1/3			228																				
ಕಬಂಜನ್ನಿ ಮೆಡಿಸಿನ್ಸ್				75																				
ಕೈಕಸುಳ್ಳಿ ಕಾಂಪೌಂಡ್				4																				
ಲಾಸಿನ್ ಬಳ್ಳಿ				4																				
ಕೈಂಬ್ಲ ಮೆಡಿಸಿನ್				34																				
ಕೈಂಬ್ಲ ಗಾಜಬಳ್ಳಿ				5																				
ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆಂ ಕ್ರೀಂ				183																				
ಮೆಡಿಸಿನ್ ಕಲಮು				168																				
ಲೇಬಲ್ ಕೈನೆ				0																				
ಸಿಲ್ ಮನೆಲಾಂಕಿ				30																				
ಕಿಂಗ್ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿ				3																				
ಒಟ್ಟು				734																				
ಪರುವೈದ್ಯಶಾಲೆ-																								
ಪೆಳುವೆಬಾಳ್					1/3			50																
ಮನೆಬಾಡಿ					1/3			45																
ಒಟ್ಟು								95																

ಸಿ ಸಿಂಗ್
ಸಿ ಸಿಂಗ್
ಅಧಿಕೃತ ಸಹಿದಾರ

(TO BE SUBMITTED ONCE IN FIVE YEARS)

H. Statement showing the details of establishments for the year 192 —192

Head of account	Designation	Number	Rate of salary	Total for each month	Total for the year	Remarks *i.e.*, authority for the scale of establishment entertained
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
A	ಅಪೀಸಿ ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳಲ್ಲಿ					
	ಅಪೀಸ ಕ್ಲರ್ಕ್	1	allowance as bill collector Rs 5/-	5/-	60-0-0	
	,, ಪೀಡನ	1	11/-	11/-	132-0-0	
					192-0-0	
	ಆಫೀಸು ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳಲ್ಲಿ-					
	ಆಫೀಸು ಇನ್‌ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್	1	25/+ 1-9-0	26-9-0	319-0-0	
	,, ಶಿಪಾಯಿ	2	12/-	24/-0-0	288-0-0	
	,, ಆಳು	2	11/-	22/-0-0	264-0-0	
	,, ಆಳು	2	11/-	ಅರವತ್ತಾರು 22-0-0	132-0-0	
					1003-0-0	
E	ಕಟ್ಟಡ ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳಲ್ಲಿ					
	ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಂಜಿನೀಯರ್	1	35/+10/+2-3-	ಅರುವತ್ತೇಳು 47-3-0	284-0-0	
	ಬಿಲ್ಲ ಕಲೆಕ್ಟರ್	1	20/+1-8-0	21-8-0	258-0-0	
	ಕಟ್ಟಡ ವೆಚ್ಚದ ಪೀಡನ	1	15/-	15-0-0	※ 180-0-0	※ ಈತನಿಗೆ 12+1.15 ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 13/- ರೂ. ಪ್ರತಿ ಮಾಹೆಗೆ ಇನ್ನು 2/- ರೂಗಳು ಭತ್ಯೆ ಕೊಡಲು ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಾಗಿ ಸಿ. ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಅಯ್ಯರವರು ಅಪೀಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಪ್ರತಿಜ್ಞೆ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ
	ಬಾಡಮೋಲಿನವರು	8	12/-	96-0-0	1152-0-0	
	ಆಳು	2	12/-	ಆರು ತಿಂಗಳಿಗೆ 24-0-0	144-0-0	
	ಕೈಪಂಪರ್	2	13/-	26-0-0	312-0-0	
					2330-0-0	
	ಗಾರ್ಡನರ್ ವೆಚ್ಚಗಳಲ್ಲಿ					
	ಗಾರ್ಡನರ್	1	11/-	11-0-0	132	

ಶ್ರೀ

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನಯ್ಯ

(To be submitted once in five years)

H Statement showing the details of establishments for the year 192 —192 —*concld*

Head of account	Designation	Number	Rate of salary	Total for each month	Total for the year	Remarks *i e* authority for the scale of establishment entertained
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

WD 01555—GPB—400—13-4-29

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

9)

Section ml
File No 31-30
Serial No 54

Diary No of letter replied to 1556 / L

No L 2867

To The Dy Comm:
Shimoga District

General and Revenue Secretariat
(1)
the 193

Sir, With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no: Mag: 1: C. 293 27-28. dated the 26th Sept 1931, forwarding a report on the representation of Mr T. Ramanna Gowda in the matter of the dispute between the Sirkaballi M.C.C. & the Dharmadarsis of Sri Rameswara Devaru Temple at the place, I am directed to request you to expedite the action suggested by you in para 2 of your letter of the 26th July 1931.

Date of issue 16/4/10

Signed by Mr V S Sastry

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

I am ~~bound~~ to add that the matter is eminently one of amicable settlement and you ~~yourself~~ are ~~the Dy Comm.~~, who is also the Muzrai Officer should come to a fair compromise between the two interests & submit a report to Govt as early as possible.

I have etc.,

5.10.31

For Gen. Secy. to Govt

I aim to add that the matter ~~being~~ is eminently one for amicable settlement, ~~you~~ being yourself the Muzrai officer you should be able to effect a fair ~~the~~

(D.O.)

10.31

L 2867
Ire 31-30 S4

10-10-1931.
/S

H.V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. Muz I.C.293/27-28 dated the 26th September 1931, forwarding a report on the representation of Mr. T. Ramanna Gowda in the matter of the dispute between the Tirthahalli Municipal Council and the Dharmadarsis of Sri Rameswara Devaru Temple at the place, I am -- directed to request you to expedite the action suggested by you in para 2 of your letter dated the 26th July 1931

I am to add that the matter is eminently one for amicable settlement and being yourself the Muzrai Officer, you should be able to effect a fair compromise between the two interests and submit a report to Government as early as possible.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V Subahmanyasastri

for General Secretary to Government.

NSG.2.

No Muzl.C. 293/27-28

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated, Shimoga, 17th November 1931

(12)

L 2253

FROM

GULAM MOHADIN MEHKRI, ESQ, B A, LL B,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

GENERAL SECRETARY.
18 NOV 1931
No. 1026

To

H.V RAMASWAMI, Esq., M A., B L,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your No.L. 2867/Ml. 31-30-54 dated the 15th October 1931, I have the honor to state, that after obtaining all informations, relating to the extent of Paduvalli village belonging to the Sri Rameswara temple, now forming part of the Tirthahalli Municipality, I convened a meeting of the Dharmadarsis of the temple and the Municipal Councillors to arrive at an amicable settlement. I enclose herewith a copy of the proceedings of the meeting, held at Tirthahalli on Sunday the 14th for the information of Government. I shall hold another meeting early in January, after furnishing the information required by one of the Municipal Councillors regarding the nature of Inam held by the temple, and submit a report in the matter.

I am glad to report, that both the Dharmadarsis and the Municipal Councillors present are in favour of buying up the rights of the temple, to avoid further complications and the amount of compensation to be paid will be determined at their next joint meeting in January.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Proceedings of the joint meeting of the Dharmadarsis of the Sree Rameswara temple and the municipal Councellors held in the middle School hall this day at 3 P m

(3)

Present - M G Mehkri Esqr B A L L B
Deputy Commissioner

Mr R Thammaya Rao B A L L B
Assistant Commissioner

" K M Narasimhaiyya B A
District Survey Officer

" H S Venkatappa Gowda
Vice President municipal Council

" B Gopalachar
municipal Councellor & Dharmadarsi

" K Dakappa municipal Councellor

" L D'Souza "

" T Ramanna Gowda "

" H Hanumanthappa Naik "

" N C Ananthakrishnamachar
medical Probationer

" K N Sreenivasamurthi B E

" Mutta Ramachar Dharmadarsi

After a long discussion it was resolved -

(I) That the title deed showing the nature of inam to the Rameswara temple in the Padavana-halli village may be obtained for the municipal Council to decide the temple rights

(II) Further resolved that it would be most desirable to settle the matter amicably and to this end it was decided that it would be advantageous to buy up the rights of the temple if any by the municipality.

(III)

Resolved

Councillors and Dharmadars are

another meeting be held in the first week of

January 32 during the Jatra to discuss the matters

further to arrive at a Settlement.

Sd/- M G Mehton

DE/only Commissioner

15 11 1931

'Copy'

GENL & REV 584. 1 - DEC 1931 MUZRAI

No. Muz.1.C.293/27-28 dated 17th November 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District to the General Secretary to Government.

With reference to your No.1.2867/III.31-30-54 dated the 15th -- October 1931, I have the honor to state, that after obtaining all informations, relating to the extent ofPaduvalli village belonging to the Sri Rameswara temple, now forming part of the Tirthahalli - Municipality, I convened a meeting of the Dharmadarsis of the temple and the Municipal Councillors to arrive at an amicable settlement. I enclose herewith a copy of the proceedings of the meeting, held at Tirthahalli on Sunday the 14th for the information of Government. I shall hold another meeting early in January, after furnishing the information required by one of the Municipal Councillors regarding the nature of Inam held by the temple and submit a report in the -- matter.

I am glad to report, that both the Dharmadarsis and the Municipal Councillors present are in favour of buying up the rights of the temple to avoid further complications and the amount of compensation to be paid will be determined at their next ------joint meeting in January.

Copy of the above together with a copy of the proceedings is - submitted to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, with reference to his No.408 of 30-31 dated 30-10-31.

(Sd.) M. G. Mehkri,

Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

Memo.

Copy of the above together with a copy of its enclosure is - forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to the Government of His His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial Department, for ---- information in continuation of this office memo dated 5th August 1931.

The receipt of a further communication in the matter may it appear be awaited

Muzrai Commissioner.

4192

No Muzi-C 293/27-28
Enc. 2.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

Dated, Shimoga 23rd April 1932.

Mu. 31-30-53 16

FROM

GULAM MOHADIN MEHKRI, ESQ, B A, LL B,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

TO

H.V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
BANGALORE.

GENERAL SECRETARY, 26 APR 1932 No

SIR,

With reference to your No. L. 5573/M1. dated the 13th February 32 calling for a report regarding the dispute between the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Rameswara Temple and the Municipal Councillors of Thirthahalli, I have the honour to state that I convened a joint meeting of the Dharmadarsis of the above temple and the Councillors of the Thirthahalli Municipality on the 13th instant to discuss the terms on which an amicable settlement could be arrived, re: the price to be paid for the Inam land on which a major portion of the town of Thirthahalli now stands. I enclose a copy of the decisions arrived at the said meeting for your information.

Mr. B.T. Kosavaiengar, when he was the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, had recommended to the Muzrai Commissioner in his No. Muz. 1.C. 293/27-28 dated the 5th April 1929 that the Municipality should pay Rs 16000/- as a fair compensation to acquire complete right and title to the Inam portion of the town I enclose a copy of his recommendation for ready reference. But the Muzrai Commissioner in his report to Government dated the 6th June 1929 recommended the payment of a compensation of Rs 24000/- and when the Municipality was asked whether they would agree to pay this amount, they started raising all kinds of objections and wanted to know what the exact area of the Inam land was on which the Town has been built and the nature of the Inam grant etc. I had, ~~therefore~~, the Survey records and the

Inam

17)

Inam title Deed thoroughly scrutinized by the Survey Officer, Shimoga and convinced the Municipal Councillors that the extent belonging to the Paduvanahalli Inam village now included in the Town is 74 acres and 3 guntas. The Municipal Councillors present have at this meeting accepted this as the correct extent and are agreeable to pay compensation for its acquisition.

After discussing with them the desirability of continuing the Municipality, as some of the Municipal Councillors were in favour of converting the area into a Village Panchayet, it was finally decided that the Municipality should continue and that the Inam lands should be purchased by the Municipality.

As the Municipality has only about Rs 5000/- in reserve the Municipal Councillors present were in favour of applying for a loan at a very favourable rate of interest from Government to be repaid in annual instalments with interest. I have sent to the President of the Municipality these decisions arrived at the joint meeting for the final consideration of the Municipality and for their passing suitable resolutions thereon, and on getting their definite resolutions, I shall forward to Government my recommendations.

The only contentious point is the amount of compensation to be paid to the temple. The Dharmadarsis, taking their income into consideration, demand a sum of Rs 16,000/- while the Municipal Councillors, on the other hand, think that no more than Rs 10,000/- could be paid owing to their slender resources. But as the maximum and the minimum has been fixed, I think, it would be possible to fix a reasonable sum between these 2 limits, now. I shall address a further communication, on receipt of the resolution of the Municipality on this ~~trust~~. point

I have the honour to be,
sir,
your most obedient servant,

M. S. [illegible] 24/4

~~for~~ Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Hon

Proceedings of the joint meeting of the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Rameswara Swamy Temple and the Municipal Councillors of the Tirthahalli Municipality held in the Middle School Hall this day at 4 P.M.

President:-

M.G. Mohkri, Esq., B.A., LL.B., Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

Present:-

1. Mr. K.M. Narasimhaiyya, B.A., District Survey Officer.
2. " K. Vedantaiengar, B.A., Amildar.
3. " K. Ramakrishna Bhatta, Dharmadarsi & Municipal Councillor
4. " B. Gopala Char. do. do.
5. " Katte Dakappa, Municipal Councillor.
6. " H.S. Venkatappa Gowda, Municipal Vice President.
7. " Balagar Hasan Sab, Municipal Councillor.
8. " H. Sreenivasa Naika, do.
9. " Larence D'Souza. do.
10. " Tudaki Ramanna Gowda., do.
11. " Javali Raghupathi Rao. do.
12. " Gujjar Ramakrishnappa, Dharmadarsi.
13. " Udupi Ramachar. do.
14. " N.V.Ananthakrishnama Charlu, Medical Probationer.
15. " Narayana Iengar, Assistant Engineer.

~~Resolutions arrived at the joint meeting of the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Rameswara temple and the Municipal Councillors of Tirthahalli held on 19.6.1929 in the Hall of Girls Middle School.~~

1. The Municipal Councillors, present, accept, after the verification of the survey records by the Survey Officer that 74 acres and 3 guntas, belong to Paduvanahalli village are Inam endowed to the Sri Rameswara temple on which a major portion of Tirthahalli town is now built.

2. The Municipal Councillors are in favour of continuing the Municipality and wish to bring up the Inamdars' rights over the above

19)

above extent.

3. The Municipal Councillors, desire that in order to ~~my~~ bring up the above rights of the Inamdars, Government be approached to advance the required amount to the Municipality as a loan, at a favourable rate of interest, to be repaid in annual instalments with interest.

4. The Dharmadarsis ~~xx~~ are of opinion, that the amount of compensation fixed by Mr. B.T. Kosavaiongar is reasonable viz., Rs 16,000/- and may be paid to the temple.

5. The Municipal Councillors, on the other hand, think that no more than Rs 10,000/- can be paid owing to the slender resources at their command.

sd/- M.G. Mehkri,
President.

=====

(Copy)

for Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

KSR/5

Letter No Miz 1. C 253/27-28 dated 5th April 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Mizrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore..

***

Mohatarfa - Tirthahalli town - rival claims of the Sri Rameswaraswami temple and the Municipality.

***

With reference to your Memo No. C. 1503/27-28 dated 17-5-1928 forwarding for report a copy of letter No. 2010/Miz dated 7-5-1928 from the Financial Secretary to Government, re the abolition of Mohatarfa tax in the Inam portion of the Tirthahalli town, I have the honor to forward herewith the connected records ending with letter No R2. 16/28-29 dated 12-12-1928 from the Sub Division Officer, Tirthahalli Sub Division.

2 Before entering into a discussion of the above subject, I would mention here a brief history of the levy of Mohatarfa in the Tirthahalli town, which is as follows.--

The present town of Tirthahalli is made up of three separate villages, viz , Padavanahalli, Kuruvalli and Tirthahalli. Padavanahalli ceased to exist long ago as a separate village The temple of Sri Rameswara Swami is the Inamdar of Padavanahalli The Inam Register shows that the temple has been in enjoyment of the Mohatarfa revenue in addition to the benefits from the Inam lands of that village since at least the year 1800 It is seen from the records that Mohatarfa which the temple collected prior to 1861-62 never exceeded its old beriz, viz , Rs 46-5-8 It increased year after year with the growth of population in the town, it was Rs 1032/- in 1887-88 and Rs 1604-8-0 in 1927-28 So long as Padavanahalli retained its character as a distinct village, the temple's right to levy and appropriate the entire mohatarfa to its own purpose does not seem to have been questioned With the growth of population in the town and trade activities growing up the need for Municipal organisation was found necessary and a Municipality was formed

21)

The Municipality in the ordinary course of things had to find a source of income for meeting the increased demands of the town in respect of sanitation and conservancy The mohatarfa collections being entirely appropriated by the temple the Municipality levied a separate tax called 'Kachara terage' for the above purpose The residents of the town naturally resented this double taxation and further demanded that the temple should be made to contribute its share of mohatarfa to the Municipality towards conservancy town improvements Mr V P. Madhava Rao, C I E , the then Deputy Commissioner, after a careful examination of all the aspects of the case and discussion with the townspeople and the panchayetdars of the temple made a recommendation to Government supporting the abolition of the separate levy of 'Kachara terage' and allotments of ¼ of the mohatarfa realized in the Inam portion of the town to the Municipality, the remaining ¾ being utilised by the temple for its use This was sanctioned by Government in their Order No 186-46/7/L F 161-92- dated 3-5-1894 This arrangement which came into effect from 1st July 1894 is still in force although the rate-payers have now been raising controversial issues which will be adverted to in fra

3 While things stand thus, the rate-payers and the Municipal Council are not satisfied with the present system of allotment of mohatarfa collections between the Municipality and the temple Ever since 1920, they have been agitating that the entire realizations of mohatarfa should be made over to them as the income from the Municipal taxation should be wholly appropriated for Municipal purposes This agitation presupposes that the Municipal Council are entitled to levy Municipal taxes even in Inam areas when such areas have been included within Municipal limits Their agitation virtually amounts to a reconsideration of the orders passed in 1894 and abrogation of the same ..

Apart from the legal aspect which this question involves, the equity of the case also has to be carefully examined During the Dewan's tour in the District in July 1927 certain representations were made to him by the Municipal Councillors in the matter of fixing the boundary of the Inam portion of the town and settling questions relating to the apportionment of the mohataria and the Dewan was pleased to direct the appointment of a Committee to go into the question and submit their opinion to Government for orders Accordingly, a reference was submitted to you ~~the~~ in this office letter No Muz I C 290/27-28 dated 27-9-1927 on which orders are awaited In the meanwhile Government have in their order No 4973-5005/L B 26-26-165 dated 27-2-1928 abolished the levy of Mohataria in all villages in the State In the light of this Government order, a report has been called for by the Financial Secretary in his letter dated 7-5-1928 (which is under reply) as to how the loss of revenue to the temple by the abolition of monataria is to be made good

4 It will be seen from the above that the Government order dated 27-2-1928 abolishing mohatarfa as an item of revenue has given a different turn altogether to the question of the future financial relationship between the ~~xxx~~ temple and the Municipality. The main issue at present is not the determination of the boundaries of the Muzrai town and the Municipal Town at Tirthahalli, but whether, by virtue of the above order the temple has lost the right to the ¾ of the mohatarfa collected in the Inam portion which was hitherto being credited to the temple The Financial Secretary's communication referred to above would seem to suggest that the temple is not now entitled to the ¾ of the revenue On the other hand, the rate payers contend that levy of mohatarfa having been abolished, they are

23)

are not bound to pay any taxes to the Municipality so far as the inam portion is concerned It appears to me that the Government order abolishing the levy of mohatarfa can have no application in Municipal areas where local taxation is Governed by the Municipal Regulation and the rules there-under or by Special Executive orders of Government issued in regard to the levy of taxes in such areas The town of Tirthahalli being an urban area, was constituted into a Municipality by Government in their notification No. 36/Ml 86-17-96 dated 1-7-1918, and the inam portion known as Padavanahalli was included within the boundaries of the Municipality No exception was taxed to the inclusion of the inam portion of the Municipality then, obviously on the presumption that the order of 1894 would continue to be in force The temple and the Municipality have thus continued to enjoy their allotted shares in the mohatarfa revenue of the Mazrai town, since then Padavanahalli cannot now be separated from Tirthahalli Town as it is likely to happen that portions of some houses may lie in both areas The financial relationship between the temple and the Municipality, it appears to me, would seem to have been based on the contract sanctioned by Government regarding the enjoyment of the mohatarfa revenue by the institutions concerned in the proportion fixed and has nothing to do with the recent orders of Government abolishing mohatarfa in rural areas Although the tax levied in the Municipality is for convenience designated 'mohatarfa' it really is a municipal tax and may not be confused with the mohatarfa that was being levied in rural areas The order of 1894 clearly recognises this aspect of the case.

5. While this should be recognised as the position as regards the levy of the tax itself, the question of the propriety of the apportionment of revenue between the temple and the Municipality will next have to be considered Strictly speaking, it is no doubt correct to urge that taxes levied

levied in a Municipal area would go to the charges on account of sanitation, medical relief and other obligatory duties cast upon a local body under the statute Apart from this, even the right of levying mohatarfa ~~for~~ in Inam villages conceded to the Inamdars was subject to the obligation that the realizations would be spent in the interests of the villagers concerned In fact, in the order of 1894, the Government have left this question open observing as follows 'the levy of a separate housetax for Municipal purpose in addition to the Mohatarfa levied by the Inamdar cannot but prove needlessly oppressive If the Inamdar is entitled to collect a housetax as distinct from a mere ground-rent it will be but fair to make him bear the expenses of Municipal concervancy ' From this point of view the contention of the Municipal Council that whole of the mohatarfa revenue should go to them is not only plausible but has much force in it

6 The fact that the existing state of things has ~~has~~ been allowed to continue all these years would seem to suggest that Government are inclined to uphold the rights of the temple as much as the rights of the Municipality If this view is correct the position taken up by the Municipality cannot be fully supported The temple should be deemed to have surrendered its right of taxation to the Municipality in consideration of being duly compensated for the losses The income from mohatarfa ~~and~~ is the main stay of the temple and to deprive the institution completely of its ancient right ~~xxx~~ without compensating for its loss would be against public policy and the interests of a popular religious institution Thus the position now is that on the one hand the temple claims its share of mohatarfa on the strength of the Inam Sannad and the order of 1894, while on the other the Municipality enforces the rights of the tax payers in regard to the tax levied in the Inam portion of the town

25)

7 I have given much thought to this vexed question and I consider the adoption of the following alternative courses would be the only means of solving a somewhat complicated and delicate matter One course of action is to take advantage of the proviso contained in the second portion of the Government order of 1894 reserving for consideration the question of a revision of the existing order of things, and to arrive at a more satisfactory proportion of distribution of revenue between the Municipality and the temple - a proportion which will not deplete the temple revenues completely, but which will augment the Municipal revenues considerably The income from Mohatarfa has not increased to any appreciable extent since 1894 It may be possible to reduce the expenditure in the temple to an extent which will make a redistribution of revenue at 50% to each of the institutions This arrangement would not however be a satisfactory one as the same troubles would crop up in future and there would be no incentive to the Municipality to improve the resources in the Inam portion of the town by periodical revisions of taxation, etc

8 The other alternative will be to allow the Municipality to buy over all the rights and interests the temple has in the Inam portion of the town and leave it free to impose taxes in accordance with the Municipal Regulation and Rules thereunder The question for consideration would then be at what price this right may be acquired Taking the 50% basis as suggested in the first alternative we may fix Rs 16,000/- as a fair price for acquiring complete control over the Inam portion of the town This amount may be advanced as a loan to the Municipality out of State Funds or the General Lazrai Funds, the Municipality being allowed to repay the loan in 25 instalments Although this arrangement would not benefit the Municipality immediately, it will make to evince interest in the revision of taxes,

26

taxes, etc , so as to meet their liability and if a necessary to levy ground rent also inregard to the xxx lands the right over which have been thus acquired.

9. If the above suggestions commend themselves to you I shall call for the resolution of the Municipality on the proposals. If that body accepts either of the two proposalsthe Government may then kindly be moved in the matter and sanction obtained thereto. In the event of the Municipality not agreeing to the terms thus offered an impasse would appear to be the inevitable result, to avoid which the Inam area has to be ceded to the temple, thus separating it from the Tirthahalli Town proper. There would then be two distinct Municipalities each to be administered independently of the other If the Inam area does not satisfy the conditions necessary to constitute it into a Municipality it will have to revert to its original status - the Inam village of Padavanahalli In the latter contingency the temple automatically loses its title to levy Mohatarfa by virtue of the order of 28-2-1928 as rural areas come under the purview of the Village Panchayet Regulation In any view of the case the interests of the temple stand on slippery ground in regard to utilisation of the taxes it levies in the Inam area and it will be compelled to meet the wishes of the tax-payers To make up for the loss in the direction, the Inamdar will have to have recourse to levy of ground-rent, thus subjecting the residents in the Inam portion to two taxes All the above consequences are most undesirable and lead to further complications. It is to be hoped, however, that the Municipality will not allow the matter to xxx take that turn, but view the question in the light in which Government have viewed it and give its support to either of the alternative proposals made above

10 Summing up the result of the foregoing discussion, I find the matter standing as follows

(i) The levy of Mohatarfa at Tirthahalli being governed by the order of 3-5-1894, the right of the temple to share alloted to it therein does not appear to have been cancelled by the Government order of 28-2-1928 abolishing Mohatarfa in rural areas

(ii) The right of the temple has, however, its corresponding obligation and since the existing arrangement has placed the Municipality in a disadvantageous position, it has to be so modified as to meet the growing needs of ratepayers in regard to sanitation and works of town-improvement.

(iii) To arrive at an equitable arrangement as between the temple and the Municipality there appear but two ~~xxx~~ courses left open for adoption provided the latter body is willing to abide by them The first is for the Municipality to acquire all rights ~~xx~~ the temple possesses in the Inam area by paying a lumpsum price of Rs 16,000/- as proposed in para 7 supra The other remedy lies in enhancing the ratio of the share of the Municipality one fourth to one half at least of the Mohatarfa realized in the Inam portion

11 As regards the settlement of the boundary line between the Inam and the Municipal portion of the town, the question is evidently a technical one to be determined with reference to the Survey and Inam Settlement Records The District Surveyor who was deputed for this work has demarcated the boundary in accordance therewith and the Amildar is satisfied that the demarcation corresponds with what is in vogue in the locality If the Municipality is not satisfied with the demarcation made by the

[illegible]

[illegible] Municipal Commissioner [illegible] the Municipality [illegible] Government [illegible] Municipal Commissioner [illegible] Municipality [illegible] Municipality [illegible] Municipality

Recd on 18-5-32

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ml

File No 31-30

Serial No 56

Diary No of letter replied to L Et 97

No L 7658

To The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga district
Shimoga

General and Revenue Secretariat

(ml)

the 193

Date of issue 19-5-32

Signed by Mr A S. Rao

Sir

With reference to your letter no. Mur. C. 293/27-28 dated 23/4/32, submitting a report regarding the dispute between the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Ramadevaru temple and the Municipal Councillors of Tirthahalli in regard to the price to be paid for the Maam land on which a portion of the town of Tirthahalli now stands and stating that a further communication would be made after

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

30

receipt your resolution. Your ~~[illegible]~~ on the decisions arrived at the first meeting, I am directed to observe that the question has been pending for a long time and to request you to ~~timely~~ expedite the submission of your final report.

I have etc

Ans 7/5
For General Secy Cosal

Ml 31-30-57

(37)

GEN & REV. SECTT. 8 7 7 25 AUG 1932 L S & BRANCH

From 1232

M.G. Mehkri, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

R.Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated 23rd August 32.

Despatched

Received

General No Muz.C.293/27-28.
No

Enclosure No 1 file ~~and 7 copies of proceedings.~~

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 25 AUG 1932 No

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.Muz.C.293 of 27-28 dated the 23rd April 32 promising to submit a further report regarding the settlement of the dispute between the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Rameshwara Devaru temple and the Municipality of Tirthahalli, I have the honour to state that the Municipal Council, Tirthahalli, have in their meeting held on 30th April 32 resolved that they are agreeable to purchase the temple inam lands now in dispute for Rs 15,000/- subject to certain conditions provided the Government would be pleased to advance this sum as a loan to the Municipality to be repaid in 30 easy instalments and carrying est at 4 per cent per annum This resolution of the ipal Council was referred to the Dharmadarsis of the temple heir opinion. In their meeting held on 17-6-32, the Dharmadarsis resolved that the Inam lands might be sold for Rs 16000/- with certain other rights to be reserved for the temple. I enclose copies of the resolutions of the Municipal Council and the Dharmadarsis for your information.

As it may not be possible for Government to advance this sum of Rs 15000/- or 16000/-, I have asked the Dharmadarsis to furnish me with their views, as to whether, they would treat the amount

32

amount as a loan to the Municipality and to [illegible] to receive with it in easy annual instalments at 4 %. On receipt of their [illegible], a further report will be made.

I have the honour to be,

Your most obedient servant,

C Ramachandra

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

eee

ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ ರಘುವೀರ ಮುನಿಪಾಲಕ

5

ಶಿಷ್ಯ ವ್ಯಾಪಕ ಶಾಸನ 30-4-1932

ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ ರಘು ಶ್ರೀರಾಮಪ್ಪರದೇವರವರು ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಭಗವನ್ಮುನಿಪಾಲಕರು ಕೂಂತುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಗೌಡಿಂಚಿಂದ ಪಾಲಕರಿಯರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕಂಪನಿ ಕೆಲಸ್ಗಾರ ಎತ್ತುಕೊಂಡುತ್ತಾರೆಂಬುವದು ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ನಿಸಿಲ್ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಷನ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ತಾ. ೧ ರು ೩ ತಿಪ್ಪುಚಾರ ಮಿಶ್ರನವರಿಗೆ ಗಾಡಿಖರವಂದ ಕಳ್ಳುದನಂ M310 293/27 28 ತಾ 23-4-32 ನೇ ರುಜುಂಮಿಶ್ರ

೧ ೩ ೩ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ರಿಗೆ ಗಾಡಿಖರವ ಕಂದಕಳ್ಳುದ ಅಕ್ಷರ ವಿಚಾರವನ್ನು ತಕ್ರೀಲಾಗಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡಲಾಯ್ತು ಈ ಅಕ್ಷರ ನಲ್ಲಿಯರತು ಪಾಂಯುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಕ್ಯೆಸ್ಟಿಲ್ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ -

1 ನೇ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮಪ್ಪರದೇವರವರು ಅಂದರೆ ಪಾಲಕ ಶಿಷ್ಯರುಳ್ಳ ಚರ್ಚ್ ಇರಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಈ ಮುನಿಪಾಲಕನಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿ 15000/- ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಆದರೆ ಈ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಂತವರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ವ್ಯಾಳದಕ್ಕು ಕೊಂಡುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಾಗುವುದು

(1) 1928 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳ್ಳದ ಗವರ್ನ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ನೋಟಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಉನಾಂದಾಗಿ ಉಳಕಕ್ಕೆ ಮೊದಕ್ಕೆ ರಕ್ಷಿಸ್ಸ ವಹಾಲಾಗಿರುವ ಅಲಾಗಾಯ್ತು ಈ ನೋಟಿಸ್ಸಿನ ಅದಾರದಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಮುನಿಪಾಲಕರಲ್ಲಿ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಉನಾಂಚಿಮೊಂಸುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಉರುವ ಮನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ದಾಖ್ಲಾದಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮನೆಕಂದಾಯವನ್ನು ನಂಬಿದವರು ಕೊಡದೆ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವದನ್ನು ಈ ಮುನಿಪಾಲಕರಿಯವರು ವಸೂಲ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವದನ್ನು ಗವರ್ನ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ನವರು ಮಂಜೂರ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಕ್ಕೆ —

(2) 1928 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿಲಾಗಾಯ್ತು ಈ ಮುನಿಪಾಲಕರಿಂದ ಕಂದಿನ ಅಕ್ಷರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಣಿಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಹೊಟ್ಟೆ ವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ದರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ವೈಲ್ ಮಾಡಕ್ಕೆ —

ಕ್ರಮವೆಲ್ಲಂತ ಯರತು ಕಂಪನಿಯ್ಯರ್ ಗಳಿಗೆ ವ್ಯಾಳದಲ್ಲು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಉನಾಂಚಿಮೊಂನನ್ನು ಮೇಲೆ ಉರ ಕಂದಿರುವ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ರೂಪಾಯಿ 15000/- ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು

೩ ನೇ ಪಾಲುಂಚಿನ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂತ ಮೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಿ ಈ ಮುನಿಪಾಲಕ ಯಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲು ಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ ಒಡಬಳು ಇಲ್ಲ ಯಾಕಿರುತ್ತೆ ಯಾಕ್ಕಿಂದ ಈ ಲುಕ್ಸು —

P.T.O

3

[redacted]

ಮೊಳಗನ್ನು [redacted]
ಕ್ಷಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಉರುವಣ್ಣಿಂದಲೂ ಅವರ [redacted]
ಯಕ್ಕಿನ್ನ ಇದೂರಾಗಿ ಕೊಂತುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ [redacted]
ಕಾಲದ್ದಿವಸ್ಸಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿ [redacted]
ಕರಾರುನ್ನು ಪ್ರವಸ್ತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಲೂ [redacted]
ಸಿಪಾಲಟಿ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಳು ಕವಾಗಿ [redacted]
ರಿಂದಲೂ ಮೇಲ್ಮಂತರ ರೂಪಾ 15000/- ಗಳನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಪಾಲಾ [redacted]
ಚ್ಯೆವಸ್ಸಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡಿ ಕ್ರಮವಾದ ಕಾಗದಪತ್ರ
ವ್ರವನ್ನೆರಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂತಲೂ ಶ್ರೀಮುನಿಸಿ
ಪಾಲಿಟಿಯ ವರಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಲ ಬಂದ್ದಿ ಯಿಂದಲೂ
ಪದ್ಧತಿಂದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣಾಲ್ಪರಂತೆ ಬಕ್ಕಿಪಡದು ಲೂ.
ಮೊಳಗನ್ನು 30 ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಪಮಕಂತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಲೂ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಕಂತಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ
ಲೂ ಉದರಂಚೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡಿರಲು ರುಜು
ಕಮಿಷನರ್ರಿಗೆ ಕಾಲ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಕ್ಕೆ
ದೆಂತಲೂ ಶಿರಸ್ತಾದಿಗಳು ಯುಗ

ಎತ್ತಿ ಕೆ

29/6

ತಾ 29-6-1932

ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟ್
ಕಾದಕಾಳ್ಳ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿ

I

ತಾ 19 6 .1932 ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ

ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿ ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮೇಶ್ವರದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಚಂದ್ರಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯೀ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳ ಯೀದಿನ ಪ್ರಾತಃಕಾಲ 9 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾ ಗ 6 32 ನೇ ಸುಕ್ರವಾರ ಕೋರಂ ಕಾಲದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷತೆ ಯಿಲಾಟಿಂಗನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಲಾಯಿತು ---

ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು		ಗೈರುಹಾಜರು ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು—	
ಸುಬರಾಯಪ್ಪ	ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲಾಚಾರ್ಯರು	(1) ಸುಬರಾಯಪ್ಪ	ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಭಟ್ಟರು
"	ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು	(2) "	ಶ್ರೀ ನಾಗರಾಭಟ್ಟರು.
"	ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿರಾಮರಾಚಾರ್ಯರು	(3) "	ವೆಂಕ್ಟರಮಣಾಚಾರ್ಯರು.
"	ಬೈರಾನಪ್ಪನವರು		

ಈ ದಿನದ ಯೀಟಿಂಗಿಗೆ ಸುಬ ಶ್ರೀ ಗೋಪಾಲಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಬೇಕೆಂದು ಉಳಿದ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಕೋಳಿ ಕೊಂಡು ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಯಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸುಬ ಗೋಪಾಲಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ವಹಿಸಿ ಯೀಟಿಂಗು ಮುಂದಿನ ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿದರು

ಈ ಯೀಟಿಂಗು ಶೇರಲು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರಣ ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮೇಶ್ವರ ದೇವರ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಗಾವಿಷಯಗಳು ಇದ್ದು ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲ ಹೆಂಟರು ಸುತ್ತ ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜ್ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಅಮಲಜಿರಾಕಿಂದ ಕಳಿಸಿದ T M No 318/31 32 ತಾ 3 5/32 ನಂಬರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡ ವಿಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಶೇರಲಾಯಿತು—

ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಬಂದ ವಿಷಯ	ಠರಾವು
ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಲೇಜ್ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್ ಸುತ್ತ ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್ ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲ ಹೆಂಟರ ಕಳಿಸಿದ T M No 318/31 32 ತಾ 3 5 32 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ವಿಚಾರ --	ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸುತ್ತ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಯೀ ಸಾಂಭಾಗಾ ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮೇಶ್ವರದೇವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್ ಸಭೆ ಸೇರುವುದು. ದರಮಂಥ ನಿಯಮ ಕಳೆದ 1920 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿಲಾಗಾಯ್ತಿನ ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದ ಕುಟಿಯದ ಲಧಾರವಿಲ್ಲದ ಚರ್ಚೆ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ವಿಧವಾದ ಹಾಗೂ ಬಹಳ ಮನಸೋಇಚ್ಛೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯೆಸ್ತು ಳಸಿರುವಂತ ಪ್ರಸಂಗವೂ ಜರುಗಿದ್ದಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಆದರೂ ಈಗ ಯಾವಿಂದ ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಶಿರ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಕನಿಷ್ಠರಲ್ಲಿ ಅನಾದಿಯಿಂದ ಯಿದ್ದ ಯಿನಾಂಭಾಗ್ಯಗಳು ದೇವರಷ್ಟಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನಡೆದ ವಿವಾದವು ಕೋರ್ಟ ನೆಗಂತ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್ ದೇವರಯಿನಾಂ ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ತಗಲ್ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡಂತೆ ಕೋರ್ಟು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್ ಕೆರ ಯಿಲ್ಲದ ಯಿದಯಿನ್ನ ತೆಗೆಯಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಡದ್ದು ಕ್ಷೇಮ ನಮ್ಮುಗಳ ವಂದನೆ ಪಾತ್ರರಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ

ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿದ ಭಾಗದ ಕಂತ ಯಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್ ನವರು ತಾ 30 4/32 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಯೀಟಿಂಗಿನ ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟ ಶಿರ್ಷದ ನಯಮಥಾ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದಂತೆ ಕಾಯ್ದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು —

P.T.O

3? 36)

ನಮೂದಿಸುವ ಬರವಣಿಗೆ

ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟೆನು

(1) ಅಹಭಾಗದಂದಾದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ರುಸಿಸಿ ಪಟೇಲ್ ಕೊಂ ಶಿಲೆನವರು ಕೇಳುವ (15000) ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಮೂಲಧನವನ್ನು ಪ್ರತಿಶಿಷ್ಟಲಕೆಸೆದು ಕೊಂಡು ಯಿರಾಡಿ ಯಿತಿನಾಂಚಾಗಾವನ್ನು ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಂಡುವ ಯುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೌ/3 4 32ನೇ ದಿನದಿಂದ ಶಿವಗೊಗ್ಗಿ ರಕ್ಷಿಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಶನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರು ತೀರ್ಪು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಧರ್ಮಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿರುವಾಗ ಬಹಿರಿದ್ದ ಧರ್ಮಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹರಾಜಿ ಆಗಬೇಕೆಂದು (16000) ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯುಳ್ಳವರು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ವಿನಾ (15000) ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಬಹಿರಿದ್ದರೂ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟರೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾಗಿ ಶಿಫಾರಸು ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು - - - -

1(a) ಈ ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಯಿಯಾಯಿಯ ಸಾಂಪ್ರದಾಯದ ಮನೆತನದಾಯಿರುವ ಸಾಲಿಯಾನ್ (Normal) ಲುಪಿತಿ ಯಾಗುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂಚಿ ಶಿಷ್ಟಾಚಾರಕ್ಕೆ 1894ನೇ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಂತೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರವಾಯಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ 0-4-0 ಯಾಂತೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಈ ಸಿನ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ದೇವರಿಗೆ (1500) ಪಾಂದೂರಾಗಿ ಹಾಕಿರುವುದು ಮೊಲ್ಲೆ ಲಿಳಿಕಾಯಿ ವಾಸ್ತವದ ದೇವರಿಗಾಗಿ ಕ್ರಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕೈಕಾಡುವುದ ಕಂದಾಯದ ಕ್ರಯವೂ ಬಲಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿವರ್ಷವೂ ಸರಾಸರಿ (1500) ಲುಪ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳದಿದ್ದರೆ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದವರಿಗೆ ಲುನತೆರುವುದು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೆಂಬುದು ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ (16000) ಒಂದೇಗಂಟು ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಕ್ರಯದಾಯಿ ಆಗಿದಾಗ ಮೇಲ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಸಾಲಿಯಾನಾಗಿ ಕಾಲುರೂಪಾಯಿ ಗಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆಯಿನ ಬಹಿರಿಕ್ಷಲಾರದು. ಇದರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮೇಲೆ 16000 ಒಂತ ಪಾಗಡಾಕಿದರೂ 67 ಸಿಂಕಲೂ ಲುಪ್ತಿ ಕಿಗಲಾರದ್ದಂದ 16000 ಕ್ರಯಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಟಲ ಪೆರುವುದು ಲಭ್ಯವು ಪಕ್ಷಕ್ಕೆಂಯೋಗ್ಯವಾದ ಪರಿಹಾರವಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಯೋಚಿಸಿ ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿಲು ತೇವೆ ಯುಂಥ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರು ಸಾರ್ವದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಾಲ್ಕರು ನಾ 1500 ಲುಪ್ತಿಯಾಯಿಸ್ಥಳದಿ ಕೊಂಡ್ರುಲಂ ಕೊಂ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದ ಕೊನೆಸೊಂಡು ಲುಭವಂಯ ಕಡೆಯಲ್ಲಿಯಾಯಿ ಜನ ಸಾರ್ವಾಸ್ವತ್ತಿ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸಾವಧಾನವಾಗಿ ಯೋಚಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪ ನಿರ್ಧರ ಪಡಿಸಿಲ್ಲ ತೇವೆ

(2) 1928ನೇ ಯಿತಿ ಕ್ಷಿಲಾಗಾಯ್ತು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಯಿತಿನಾಂಚಾಲಗಾದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ನೆಗಂಪಾಯಿ ಜನ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವಾದುದರಿಂದ ಲಾಭ (Wave) ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಕಾರಣವೇಯಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

II

37)

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅರ್ಹತೆ (Waive) ಮೇಲೆ ಸಡಿಲ ಆಗುವುದೂ ಅಂತಿಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಿಸಲಾಯಿತು

(21) a) ಈ ಸುನಿವಿಷಲ್ ಕಾಂಸಿಲೆನವರು G O No 4973-505/L B 26-26-163 ದಿ 27 2 28ನೇ ರು ಕರ್ನಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆರ್ಡರು ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಂತಿಮ ಭಾಗಾಸುನೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಪನ್ನ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಮಾಡಬಹುದೆಂಬ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧನು ಪ್ರದಾನಿಸಿದ್ದು ಅಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಾಯ ಇಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ಈ ಅರ್ಹತೆ (Waive) ಮೇಲೆ ಸಡಿಲಮಾಡಿ ಕೇಳಿರುವಂತೆ ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ ಹೀಗೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯವು ಹಲ್ಲಿ ಪರ್ಸೂದ ಕಿಗಳು ಕೆ 13/ಸ್ಟ್ ರಲ್ಲು ವಿಚಾರಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಆರ್ಡರು ಪ್ರಾಯ ನಾಂಚಾಗಿ ಕಂದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿಷ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೂ ವಸ್ತ್ರಿಕೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕಾರುಣ್ಯಾಯ ಆಫೀಸರವರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆ ಈ ಕಲಂ ಪುನರಾಲೋಚನೆ ಸದರಿ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಸುನಿವಿಷಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್‌ರವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ತರಬೇಕೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಕಲಿಸ್ಯ ಅಂತಿದೆಯೋ ಇದನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು - -- - -

ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿರತಿ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸನ್ನಾಗಲಿ ಸುನಿವಿಷಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್‌ನವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಆಗಲಿ ಒಪ್ಪದೆ ಆಗಲಿ. ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಆರ್ಡರುಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡು. ಸದರಿ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ಕೆಲವು ಮುಖ್ಯಾಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದು ಅದರಂತೆ ಪ್ರತಿಬಂಧಲು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸಿಫಾರ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆವೆ ಸದರಿ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸನ್ನು ನಾವು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತೆವೆ

ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರವು ಪುರಾಣ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾದ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರವಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿದಿನ ಸಾವಿರಾರು ಜನ ಭಕ್ತಾದಿಗಳು ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮೇಶ್ವರದೇವರ ದರ್ಶನವೇಕಾರ್ಥವಾಗಿ ಬರುವುದು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತವಾಗಿರುತ್ತೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಿಯಾದ ಅಂಚೆಕಛೇರಿ ಈ ಊರಿನವರುಗಳು ಭಾರತಿಯಾಂಚಾತಿಗಳ ಗಮನ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಉತ್ತರವಾಗಿ ಬಾಟ್ಲಿಕೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕುಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ ಈ ದೇವರ ಸಂಬಳವು ವಾಸ್ತವದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರುಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿ ರಸಿದಾದ 30-40 ವರ್ಷಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲವುದರಿಂದ ಊರಿನವರು ವ್ಯಾಪಾರದ ಸಮಯ ಹೊಟ್ಟೆಗಿನವರಿದ ಸುನಿವಿಷಲ್ ಕೌಂಸಿಲ್ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಹಿಷ್ಟಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದರಿಂದ ಅಂಚೆಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಕಷ್ಟ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಅದರಿಂದ ಕಂದಾಯದವರಿಗೆ ಲಾಭವು ಅಂಚೆಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಕಷ್ಟಂಕೆ ಸಾನಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆವೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಅಂಚೆಕಛೇರಿ ಇರುವಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಂತೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿ

P.T.O

38)

[redacted]

ಈ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಹಂದು [redacted]
ಪ್ರಮುಖರು ಡಕಾಯಿತರಿಂದ ಬರುವುದಾದ
ಹೇರಸ್ಥಿನದ ವೈಶಿಷ್ಟ ಧರ್ಮಗಳು ಅದರ ಕಾಂ
ಕಲಾಪಗಳು ನತೆಂದು ಹುದ ಕ್ಷಣಿಕ ಕೆಲವು (ಲಿಖಿತ
ಸಂಕೇತಸ್ಥಿನವನ್ನು ಲಿಂಗತಿಸುವುದು ಅಕ್ಷರಾಭ
ವಾಗಿರುವ ಧಾನಿ ಲಿಂಗತಿಸುವುದಾದರೆ ಈ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ
ಮದಾಚ್ಛಿನ ಸಂಬಂಧ ತಪ್ಪು ಹಾಗೂ ಅನಾದಿ
ಯಿಂದಲೂ ಈ ಹೇರ ಕ್ಷಿಸಿದವಳ ತಪ್ಪಿದಾದ
ಕುಂಗಾನಿಂದ ಸುದ್ದಿದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪ್ರೇರಕರು ಕ್ಷಿಪ್ರ
ವನ್ನು ಮುಚ್ಚಿ ವಾಗಿ ಟ್ಟು ಕೆಲಂ ತರ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಕಡೆಗೂ
ಒಂದು ಸೂರು ಅಥವಾ ಕೆ ಅಳತೆ ಎಂದೂ ಗುವ
ಪ್ರದೇಶವನ್ನು ಸಂಕೇತಸ್ಥಿನವನ್ನಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸುವ
ದು - —

2(ಬ) ಶ್ರೀ ಹೇರಸ್ಥಿನದಿಂದ ಈ ರಾಯಕಿರ್ಧಕ್ಕೆ
ಹೋಗುವದಾಂತಿಯನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಿ ಸಂಕೇ
ತಸ್ಥಿನವನ್ನಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸುವುದು - - ಭ್ರ -

(3) ಶ್ರೀ ಹೇರಸ್ಥಿನದ ಪ್ರಕ್ಷುಧಾರಿಸಿದವರುಂಧ ತ
ಕ್ಷಿರುವ ಆಕರಣಾವನ್ನು ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಕೆಲ್ಲ
ದಾಂತಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿ ಹೇರಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಕ್ಷ ಳಿದ್ದ
ನ್ನಾಗಿ ಲುಳ್ಳಿಸುವುದು - —

(4) ಹಾಗೆ ಈ ಹೇರಕರ ಧೋಷ್ಟ ವಸ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಲ ಲುಕ್ಷ್ಯ
ಗಳು ಈ ಲೂರಿನಂತೆ ಬಳಕೆಯಿದಿಸಳ್ಳುವು
ದು ಲ ಪ್ರಕಾರದ್ದಾದ ಹೋಗುವ ಕ್ರಮವೆಂದೂ
ಆಯಿದಿಸಳ್ಳಿಲ್ಲ ಈ ಹೇರ ಲುಕ್ಷ್ಯಗಳು -
ಹೋಗುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ ಬೇರೆ ಹೇರ ಲುಕ್ಷ್ಯ
ಬೈ ಅಗಲ ಸ್ಪರ್ಶ ಸುಮ ಸ್ವರ ಲುಕ್ಷ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಅ
ಗಲ ಅಂಥಾದೆಂದೂ ಲುಕ್ಷ್ಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಗಲ ಅಥವ
ದಿಸ್ಲ್ಲಂದೂ ಅಕ್ಷ ಪ್ರಕ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿಂದೂ ಬಿಡಿದ
ಕೆ ನಿರೋಧ ಪಡಿಸುವುದು -

(5) ಯಾವ ಹೇರ ಕಂದು ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಹೇರ ಕಂ
ದು ಕಾರಣ ಹಿಂದ ಈ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಹೇರ ಕಂ
ಥಾನ ಸಂಕೇತಸ್ಥಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ನಿಸಿ ಹೋಲಿಯ
ವರು ಎಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಲ್ಪಡಿಸುವುದು ಬಿಟ್ಟ ಹೋಗ್ಲ
ವುದು ಯಾವುದಾದರು ಒಬ್ಬ ಅಥವಾ ಅದಲು
ಕೆಲ್ಲರೂ ತಿಳಿಯುವುದು ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ
ಈ ಲಾಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಹೋಲಿಯವರು
ಯಾರೆಂದು ಕ್ರಮಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸ
ಬೇಕು -

P.T.O.

III

39) ಈ ರೀತಿ ಈಗ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಅಂತರನ್ನು ಕಾಯಂ ಆಗಿಯಿಟ್ಟು ಅದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಅರ್ಥಿನಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡು ನಮೂದಿಸಿ ಪುಟದ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸೇಲ್ಸಿಂಡ 16000/- ಹದಿನಾರು ಸಾವಿರರೂ ಹಾಯಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕ್ರಯಪತ್ರ ನಾವುಗಳು ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಈ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾಲ್ಸೋಕು ಅರುಲ್ದಾರರವರು ಸುನಿತಿಬಲ್ ಕಾಂಸಿಲ್‌ನವರು ದಾವಧಾನವಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಇದರಂತೆ ಕೆಲಸಗಳ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯಾಗುವಹಾಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟುಕೊಂಡ ಕೆನಾರು ನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಟ್ಟೆ ಇದರಂಯುಧನಗಳನ್ನು ಶಿರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಕಾಲ್ಸೋಕು ಅರುಲ್ದಾರ್ರು ಸುನಿತಿಬಲ್ ಕಾಂಸಿಲ್‌ನವರಿಗೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಂದು ಶಿರ್ಷಿಕೆ ಹಾಕಿಯಿರುತ್ತೆ ---

(Sd) ಬಿ ಗೋಪಾಲಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಇಂಜಿನೀಯರ್
" ಕೆ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ ಕನ್ನಡ
" ವೈ ಪಾದಪ್ಪ. ಇಂಜಿನೀಯರ್
" ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿ ರಾಮಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಕನ್ನಡ

ಯುಧ್ಧೋನಕಲು

Katte Rama Rao
Parapathegar

ಶ್ರೀ

ಗೌರವಾರ್ಹ ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮೇಶ್ವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು. ನತಕಿದ ವಿಷಯ ಕುರಿತಾಗಿ ನಿವೇದನೆ — ತಾ 18 7 28

× × × × × × - × ×

ಸಬ್‌ಜೆಕ್ಟ್

ಮೈನಾರಿಟಿಯಲ್ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ

(ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರ)

ಮೇಲಿಂದ ಸುಮಾರು ಯಿ ಕಮ್ಯೂನಿಕೇಶನ್ ಗಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ನಂಬರ್ 2010 ಮುಜ್ರಾ ತಾ 7 ಸ 28 ನೆ ಲೆಟರ್ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಸಾದ್ರಪಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದು O No. 4973 5005/L B 26-26 163 ತಾ 27 2 28 ಅಂತ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಯಿನ್ನೂ ಜಾಹಗಿರ್ ಸಮಾಲು ಆಸ್ತಿ ಮೋಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಸಾ ಆಫ್ರಿಂದ ನಿ ಶ್ರೀ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಯಿನಾಂಸು ನಿಸ್ಬತ್ ಹಾಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳ್ಳಂಯಿದ್ದು ಸುಮಾರು ಮೋಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕೆಲಸ ಕಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ —

ಶ್ರೀ ಸೂಚನೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಲ್ತಾನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಂದ ಪತ್ರಿಯ ಖೈಫಿಯತ ನಂ L 5026-L-B 26-26-164 ತಾ 26-2-28 ನೆ ನೋಟಿಫಿಕೇಶನ್ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸುಮಾರ್ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸಮಾಲ್ ಆಸ್ತಿ ಮೋಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಆದಾಯ ಸಿದ್ದೆ ಆಗೋದು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಆಸ್ತಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಕೊಂಡು ಯಿದೆ ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ ಕಾಲದಿಂದ ಸಿದ್ದೆ ಯಿದೆ ರಾಮೇಶ್ವರ ದೇವರ ಮೋಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸಮಾಲು ಆಸ್ತಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ಗಳು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಸ್ಟೇಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆ ಯಿದರಡ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಕೊಂಡು ಯಿರುವುದು ಎಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು ಯಿದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ಕಂದಾಯ ಮೋಡಿ ಕಂತ ಸೆಟ್ಲ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಸುನಿಸ್ಬತ್ ಪರಡಡ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸುಮಾರ್ ಯಿನಾಂ ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಅಷ್ಟು ಯಿದು ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ ಯಿನಾಂ ಸಮಾಲು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಮೋಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮೇಶ್ವರ ದೇವರ ಯಿನಾಂ ಭಾಗವೆಂದು ಇಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೆ ಯಿನಾಂಸ್ತಾನ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಈ ಯಿನಾಂ ಭಾಗ ವಿನಡಿಯಿದ ಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಸುಂಕ ಶಿರ್ಷಿಕೆ ಸ್ತಾನ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿಯ ಆ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ತಾನ ಸಮಾಲು ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೆಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ತಾನ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಯವರೇ ಪರ್ಮಿಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತದೆ —

ಯಿದರಿಂದ ರಾಮೇಶ್ವರ ದೇವರ ಯಿನಾಂ ಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಮೋಡಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿಂದ ಯಿರಸ್ಥರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿರಸಾಂ ಸಮಾಲು ವಹಿಸಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಶಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಿದ್ದ ಆಧ್ಯರಿಂದ ಈಗ ಇರುವ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚಟುವಟಿಕೆಗಳು ಕಾಯಂ ಯಿರುವ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಸಮ್ಮತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಸಮಾಲು ಯಿಟ್ಟು ಇದರ ಕಾಶಿಯ ಸ್ವತ್ತಿನ್ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಸುಚಿಕೆಯಿಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಂತೆ ಸಮಾಲು ನಿಸ್ಬತ್ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿಂದ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ ನಿಡಲಾಯಿತು —

× × × × × × × × × × × ×

Katte Rama Rao
parapathigar

ಶಿ/ನಂ 55/31-32

(41)

ಶಿರ್ಷಿಕೆಯ ಕಾಫ್ಲೋಕ್ಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರವರಿಗೆ -.

ಶಿರ್ಷಿಕೆಯ ಶ್ರೀ ರಾಮಚಂದ್ರಪುರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪರುಪತ್ತೆಗಾರನ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕುರಿತು

ದಿ 22 6 32

16461

ಶ್ರೀ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಕಾಣಿಕೆ ಮಸ್ತಿ/32
ನೇಮಕದನ ತೆಗೆದು ಅಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟಿರುವಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಕಾಲಸ್ಥ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಕೆ.
ಅಗ್ಗಿಷ್ಟಿ ಕಟ್ಟು ಹಿಡಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದೆ ನೇಂಕಾರ್

Katte Rama Rao
parapathegar

Submitted to the Sub Division Office, Shimoga Sub Division, Shimoga, for favour of kind perusal

Amildar

24/6/32

ml 531/31-32

6 32

No. 30632 / 31-12

42)

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner [redacted]

Shimoga for favour of [redacted]

-3-7-61-12

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
6 JULY 1932
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

9/3/27 28

Seen

9/5/27 28

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
JULY 1932
SHIMOGA SUB DIVISION

0318

FORWARDED to the Amildar Tirthahalli Taluk

for

Commissioner.

Ml 31-30-88

No. Muzi. C 293/27.28 En one

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT,

Dated, Shimoga 20th September *1932*

GEN & REV SECTT. 22 SEPT 1932 L. B. G. BENGALORE

FROM

M. G. MEHKRI, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

To

R. RANGA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to Government,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY 22 SEP

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No Muz 1 C.293/27-28 dated the 22nd August 1932 regarding the settlement of the dispute between the Dharmadarsis of the Sri Rameswara Devaru temple and the Municipality of Thirthahalli, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the resolution of the Dharmadarsis passed at their meeting held on 30th July 1932 and to state that this matter has been referred to the President of the Thirthahalli Town Municipal Council to obtain the views of the Municipal Council in regard to the payment of the loan in 10 years On receipt of their reply a further communication will be sent to you in the matter.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.
SHIMOGA DISTRICT

1932 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ ನೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರು ತಿಂಗಳು ತಿರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ ತಾಲೂಕು ಪೆರಾಮೇಶ್ವರಪ್ರಸಾದದ ಧರ್ಮದಾಯಿ ನ ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳಿಗೆ ಮೋಟಾಂಸು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡಿದಂಥಾ — ತಾ 27-9-32

(44)

ಮಾಜಿ ಧರ್ಮಮೋಂಬರು

ಗುರಾಯರು ಕೆ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಭಟ್ಟರು
ವಿ ಸೋಮಶೇಖರಾಯರು
ಜೇಷ್ಟ ಪುತ್ರರಾಯರು
ಗು ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪನವರು

ಸ್ಥಿರ ಹಾಲಿ ಧರ್ಮಕರ್ತರು

ಗುರಾಯರು ವಿ ನಾಗಭಟ್ಟರು
ಸೈ ಮಾದಪ್ಪನವರು
ಕೂಡ್ಲಿ ರಾಮರಾಯರು

ಈ ವಿಷಯದ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡಿ ಗುರಾಯ ಶಿರ್ಷಕರುಳು ಕಾಯ್ದೇಲು ಅಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸುಕ್ರಾಯಿತ್ರಾಯಿ. ಶ್ರೀ ಶೀದರು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾಗಿ ಕೆಂಡು ಲೆಕ್ಕದ ನಾಯಿ ದರ್ಶಕರುಳು ಕೆಳಕೆಂಡ ಆಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ತೀರ್ಪಿಂದ ಅಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷಿಯ ಸ್ಥಾನವನ್ನು ವಹಿಸಿ ಲೂಟಿಂಗು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆ ಹೂಡಿಂಗ್ಳು ತೀರ್ಪಾಂಗ್ಳು ಆಯಿತು —

ಸಾಚಿಚಿತ್ತವಳು

ಸುಮಾರು ಸ್ವಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ 16000/ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಾಲ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಶೇಕಡಾ 4 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ದೀರ್ಘಕಾಲದ ಕಂತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೀಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಏರ್ಪಾಡಾಗಿ ಲೂಂಬ ನಂಬರು M 293/27 28 ತಾ 23.8.32 ನೇದು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಲಿಖಿತ —

ಶಿಕ್ಷೆ

ಹಿಂದಿನ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಪಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 16000/ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಸಾಲದಾಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ತಲು ವಪ್ಪಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಆಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಶೇಕಡಾ 4 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ದೀರ್ಘಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ತಲು ಆಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲದೆ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಸೂಚಿತನಾಗಿ ನಡೆಯಬೇಕಾದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಖರ್ಚುಮಾಡಿ ಸಾಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರು ಈ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 5000/ರೂಪಾಯಿಯಂತೆ 3 ಕಂತುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ತೂರಿಸಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು 10 ವರ್ಷಗಳ ವರೆಗೆ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಪಾಲಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಹಣಕ್ಕೆ ಪಾಲಿಯಾದ ಶೇಕಡಾ 6¼ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಾಡಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಾಲಿಯಾದ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಪಾಲಿಟಿಯವರಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಧಾನ್ಯತೆ ಇಟ್ಟು ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ತೀರ್ಪಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಜಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯ ದಕ್ಷರು ಸರಿಯಾದ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲದೆ 16000/ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸಾಲ ಮಾಡಿ ಸಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸುತ್ತೆವೆ

(Sd) ನರಸಿಂಹರಾವ್ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್
" ಕೆ ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಭಟ್ಟ ಕಸ್ತಿನೆ
" ವಿ ಸೋಮಶೇಖರಾಚಾರ್
" ಸು ರಾಮಕೃಷ್ಣಪ್ಪ
" ಟಿ ರಘುಪತಿರಾವ್

Copy
[illegible]
Amildar
21/9/32

Ml 31-30-59

No. Muz.1.C.293/27-28.Encs: (66)

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT,

Dated, Shimoga 31st October, 1932

FROM

GULAM MOHADIN MEHKRI, ESQ, B A, LL B,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

To

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your Memo No: C.408 of 30-31 dated the 12th October 1932 calling for a report in the matter of the settlement of the dispute between the Thirthahalli Municipality and Sri Rameswara Swami temple, I have the honour to invite your kind reference to my No: Muz.1.C.293/27-28 dated the 25th April 1932 forwarding a copy of my letter to the General Secretary to Government together with a copy of the decisions arrived at the joint meeting of the Dharmadarsis and some of the Municipal Councillors held on 13th April 1932, when it was resolved that the Municipality should buy up the rights of the temple over the Inam villages with the aid of a loan to be obtained from Government by the Municipality at a favourable rate of interest. The Dharmadarsis that were present at this meeting were agreeable to sell the Inam lands to the Municipality and wanted Rs. 16,000/- as their price while the Municipal Councillors were not agreeable to give more than Rs. 10,000/- by way of compensation to the temple.

2. The question of compensation to be paid was referred to both the Municipality and the Dharmadarsis for their opinion and I enclose copies of the resolutions of the Municipal Council and the Dharmadarsis passed at their subsequent meetings for your information. It will be seen from the resolutions of the Dharmadarsis, that they have finally agreed to accept Rs. 15,000/- subject to the condition that the

47)

quota due to them out of the Mohatarfa collections from 1928, up to the date of the acquisition should be paid to them, that the sale amount might be treated as a loan to the Municipality carrying interest at 6% and repayable in instalments of not less than Rs. 1000/- at a time. The Municipality have agreed to these conditions. Since both the Municipality and the Dharmadarsis have come to an understanding, the Inam land belonging to the temple in Padavanahalli village on which a major portion of the Thirthahalli town is now built may be acquired by the Municipality subject to the following conditions:--

(i) That the Municipality agree to pay Rs. 15,000/- for the extent of land to be acquired from the temple.

(ii) That this amount be treated as a loan granted by the temple to the Municipality carrying interest at 6% per annum and repayable in instalments of not less than Rs. 1000/- at a time the whole amount being repayable within 30 years.

(iii) That this loan should be the first charge on the Municipal revenues.

As regards the question of the payment of the Quota of 75% of the Mohatarfa collections from 1928, I invite your kind reference to this Office letter No: Muz.1.C.293/27-28 dated 5th April 1932 addressed to you by Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar the then Deputy Commissioner in which he has opined that the Government Order No: 4973-5005/L.R.26-26-163 dated 27th February 1928 abolishing the levy of Mohatarfa in all villages in the State does not apply to the town of Thirthahalli which had already been constituted into a Municipality by Government in the Order of 1918 and that under the arrangement arrived at, in the compromise effected by Sir. V. P. Madhava Rao the temple is entitled to recover its quota of the Mohatarfa dues even after the issue of the Government of 1928, as it is in the nature of a contract between the Temple authorities and the Municipality and ratified by Government. The contention of

48) 2078 3 L.S.G. BRANCH

ml 31-30-52

the Municipality that the Inamdar is not entitled to the Mohatarfa collections after the Government Order of 1928 does not seem to me to be tenable and will have to be decided against the Municipality .

4. I think the best terms have been offered to the Municipality for if the Municipality do not purchase the Indamdars' rights, its resources cannot be improved and the Municipality will have to be abolished in which event the Inamdar Temple will also lose its right to collect the Mohatarfa taxes under the order of 1928. I therefore request that early orders may be obtained to the above proposals to bring this longstanding dispute to an end.

5. Necessary acquisition proceedings will be taken on receipt of Government orders.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) M. G MEHKRI
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

GENERAL SECRETARY 31 1932 No 255

Misc 293/27-28

Copy is forwarded with compliments to the General Secretary to Government in continuation of this Office No Misc.1.C 293/27-28 dated 22nd August 32.

M. G. Mehkri 31/8
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.